16 • N. Y. AMSTERDAM NEWS, Sat., Jan. 11, 1969

er am News

Anti-Semitism

To The Editor:

Mr. Marx also stated that Judging from all the state-his findings agreed with those ments speculating about the anti- of six out of seven studies that Semitism "rampant" in the had been done in the past 20 black community today, one years.

would imagine that there were among blacks.

racial attitudes of Negroes have Research.

perspective on this matter can

be maintained.

was published in 1967 under the found:

whites.

2) That the anti-Jewish attitud. It is crucial that a distinction es among blacks were largely be made between hatred and a manifestation of anti-white distrust.

attitudes. And that Jews were The best evidence available not being singled out by blacks suggests that - despite the UFT as scapegoats. strike - hatred of whites in 3) That the majority of the black community is still low. Negroes failed to distinguish but distrust of whites by blacks Jews from other white ethnic is high - as distrust of blacks groups. But when distinctions by whites. Thus the real concern were made, Negroes had more, of responsible citizens and not less, favorable attitudes groups is not to focus upon toward Jews than they did exaggerated group hatred, but toward other white ethnic to try and transform intergroup distrust into interracial trust groups.

He therefore concluded, "All and cooperation in all, however, no case can ROBERT B. HILL be made for the prevalent notion that anti-Semitism is more Research Associate Editor's Note: The writer, a widespread among Negroes than Ph.D. is a research associate among whites, anymore than it in the Bureau of Applied Social could be shown that they single Research at Columbia out Jews for special enmity." University. University.

A much smaller study of no data available to gauge the the racial attitudes of blacks level of anti-white attitudes in New York City was conducted for the American Jewish For some strange reason, the Committee by Carolyn Atkinson numerous studies that have been in 1967 at Columbia University's done over the years on the Bureau of Applied Social

been almost completely ignored during the current racial controversy. Virtually no been made public despite the concerted effort has been made by the mass media to present these findings to the public so that a more balanced those of the 1964 Marx study.

She concluded: "In general One of the most systematic then, there is no evidence from of these studies was conducted our study to suggest that either for the Anti-Defamation League black nationalism or black by Gary Mary in the fail of Dower bas for the Anti-Delamation League black inductability or black by Gary Marx in the fall of power has appreciably 1964 after the so-called "anti-Jewish" riots in Harlem, toward Jew. Nor is there any Bedford-Stuyvesant and evidence to suggest that Rochester, New York. His study, which was based upon a nationwide sample of blacks, was published in 1967 under the

I am certain that very few title, Protest and Prejudice. New Yorkers are aware of the Contrary to popular belief, he above studies. Thus it is imperative, at this time, that 1) That Negroes, on the whole, these findings receive the widest

were less anti-Semitic than possible dissemination. In fact, these studies demonstrate that