

## MIT 8.02 Spring 2002 Exam #1 Solutions

### Problem 1

- (a) No bulbs glowing: no closed circuit anywhere and hence no current anywhere.
- (b) A and B glow with equal brightness, as they are connected in series to the battery and thus the same current passes through each. C is still off.
- (c) A, B, and C all glow. A is brightest, for all current flows through it. B and C glow with equal but lesser brightness, as the current through A is split equally between B and C.
- (d) Bulb A in case (c) is brightest of all: effective resistance of the bulb combination is decreased from that of part (b) by the addition of bulb C in parallel with bulb B. By Ohm's law, more current is then drawn from the battery in case (c) as compared to case (b), leading to a brighter bulb A.

Bulbs B and C in case (c) are faintest of all. Let  $V$  be the battery voltage and  $R$  be the resistance of each bulb. The effective resistance of the circuit as a whole is  $2R$  in case (b) and  $1.5R$  in case (c). Thus the current through A is  $V/2R$  in case (b) and  $V/1.5R = 2V/3R$  in case (c). Therefore in case (b) the current through B is also  $V/2R$ , but in case (c) the current through B (and C) is *half* of  $2V/3R$ , which is  $V/3R$ . This latter current is less than  $V/2R$ , and so B and C in case (c) are fainter than A and B in case (b).

- (e-b) B glowing, C off.
- (e-c) B and C glowing with equal brightness.
- (e-d) All on-bulb brightnesses are equal, for all bulbs have the full battery voltage across themselves, and therefore the same current goes through each.

### Problem 2

- (a)  $Q = CV$ , and  $C$  &  $V$  are the same for both capacitors. So each has a charge  $+CV$  on its upper plate and  $-CV$  on its lower plate.
- (b) For both capacitors, electric field has magnitude  $E = V/d$  and is directed downwards (from + charge to -).
- (c) Right capacitor:  $C$  &  $V$  unchanged, so  $+CV$  on upper plate and  $-CV$  on lower plate still. Left capacitor:  $V$  unchanged, but  $C \rightarrow \kappa C = 3C$ , giving charges  $+3CV$  on upper plate and  $-3CV$  on lower.
- (d) Same answer as part (b), for  $V$  and  $d$  remain unchanged.

**Problem 3**

(a) Since there is no current inside the conductor, the  $\mathbf{E}$ -field is zero everywhere inside. Therefore Gauss's law dictates that there cannot be any net charge inside the conductor, so *none* of the charge will be found in the region  $r_1 < r < r_2$ . Now suppose some of the net positive charge  $+q$  remained on the *inner* surface of the pipe. We could enclose this charge with a Gaussian surface lying entirely within the conducting material (ignoring end effects), and since  $\mathbf{E} = 0$  everywhere within a conductor, Gauss's law would tell us that our Gaussian surface contained no net charge. This would only be possible if there were some *negative* charge within the cavity to balance the positive charge on the inner pipe wall. But this cavity is *empty*. So we may conclude that none of the charge will stay on the inner wall. Since we have found that it cannot go anywhere else, *all* charge  $+q$  must go to the outer surface. Symmetry of the system dictates that the charge will be distributed evenly over this surface, with surface charge density  $\sigma = +q/2\pi r_2 L$ . (See the solution to homework problem 2.1 for discussion of a related situation.)

(b) Symmetry requires that  $\mathbf{E}$  be in the radial direction and depend only upon  $r$ . (By "radial" here we mean perpendicularly away from the pipe axis, not radial in the sense of spherical coordinates. Unfortunately there is no good terminology to distinguish between "cylindrical-radial" and "spherical-radial".) Application of Gauss's law to a cylindrical Gaussian surface of length  $l$  and radius  $r$  coaxial with the pipe leads to  $(2\pi r l)E = Q_{\text{encl}}/\epsilon_0$ . (i) For  $r < r_1$ ,  $Q_{\text{encl}} = 0$  and hence  $\mathbf{E} = 0$ . (ii) We already know that  $\mathbf{E} = 0$  inside the conductor ( $r_1 < r < r_2$ ). (iii) For  $r > r_2$ ,  $Q_{\text{encl}} = +ql/L$ , and thus  $\mathbf{E} = +q/(2\pi\epsilon_0 r L)$  radially outward (i.e. cylindrical-radially).

(c)  $\Delta V = 0$ : potential difference is the line integral of the electric field, and the electric field is zero everywhere between the axis and the outer surface.

(d) Nothing changes from part (b): there was no  $\mathbf{E}$ -field in the pipe cavity to begin with, so there is nothing to induce a polarization charge in the dielectric.

---

**END**