

L11: Network layer

Frans Kaashoek

6.033 Spring 2011

<http://web.mit.edu/6.033>

Some slides are from lectures by Nick Mckeown, Ion Stoica, Dina Katabi, Hari Balakrishnan, Sam Madden, and Robert Morris



MASSACHUSETTS
INSTITUTE OF
TECHNOLOGY


Internet: Best Effort

No Guarantees:

- Variable Delay (jitter)
- Variable rate
- Packet loss
- Duplicates
- Reordering

Layering of protocols

- Each layer adds/strips off its own header
- Each layer may split up higher-level data
- Each layer multiplexes multiple higher layers
- Each layer is (mostly) transparent to higher layers



Link Layer




Problem:

Deliver data from one end of the link to the other

Need to address (6.02):

- Bits → Analog → Bits
- Framing
- Errors
- Medium Access Control

The Internet Stack




Network Layer:

finds a path to the destination and forwards packets along that path

- Difference between routing and forwarding
 - Routing is finding the path
 - Forwarding is the action of sending the packet to the next-hop toward its destination

Forwarding


- Each router has a forwarding table
- Forwarding tables are created by a **routing protocol**




Forwarding table at R

| Dst. Addr | Link |
|-----------|------|
| A | 1 |
| B | 2 |
| C | 1 |
| E | 3 |

Inside a router



The IP Header




Forwarding an IP Packet



- Lookup packet's DST in forwarding table
 - If known, find the corresponding outgoing link
 - If unknown, drop packet
- Decrement TTL and drop packet if TTL is zero; update header Checksum
- Forward packet to outgoing port
- Transmit packet onto link

And switches today..


Alcatel 7670 RSP



Avici TSR



Cisco GSR 12416
6ft x 2ft x 1.5ft
4.2 kW power
160 Gb/s cap.




Juniper TX8/T640

Lucent 5ESS
telephone
switch




The Routing Problem:

- Generate forwarding tables



Goals: No loops, short paths, etc.




THE ARPA NETWORK

DEC 1969


4 NODES

FIGURE 6.2 Drawing of 4 Node Network
(Courtesy of Alex McKenzie)




MAP 4 September 1971

ARPANET LOGICAL MAP, MARCH 1977




(PLEASE NOTE THAT WHILE THIS MAP SHOWS THE HOST POPULATION OF THE NETWORK ACCORDING TO THE BEST INFORMATION OBTAINABLE, NO CLAIM CAN BE MADE FOR ITS ACCURACY)

NAMES SHOWN ARE IMP NAMES, NOT (NECESSARILY) HOST NAMES



Path Vector Routing Protocol

- Initialization
 - Each node knows the path to itself




For example, D initializes its paths

| DST | Link | Path |
|-----|-----------|------|
| D | End layer | null |

Path Vector

- Step 1: Advertisement
 - Each node tells its neighbors its path to each node in the graph



For example, D receives:

From A:

| To | Path |
|----|------|
| A | null |

From C:

| To | Path |
|----|------|
| C | null |

From E:

| To | Path |
|----|------|
| E | null |

Path Vector

- Step 2: Update Route Info
 - Each node use the advertisements to update its paths

D received: From A:

| To | Path |
|----|------|
| A | null |

From C:


| To | Path |
|----|------|
| C | null |

From E:

| To | Path |
|----|------|
| E | null |

D updates its paths:

| DST | Link | Path |
|-----|-----------|------|
| D | End layer | null |



| DST | Link | Path |
|-----|-----------|------|
| D | End layer | null |
| A | 1 | <A> |
| C | 3 | <C> |
| E | 2 | <E> |

Note: At the end of first round, each node has learned all one-hop paths

Path Vector

- Periodically repeat Steps 1 & 2

In round 2, D receives:

From A:

| To | Path |
|----|------|
| A | null |
| D | <D> |

From C:


| To | Path |
|----|------|
| C | null |
| D | <D> |
| E | <E> |
| B | |

From E:

| To | Path |
|----|------|
| E | null |
| D | <D> |
| C | <C> |

D updates its paths:

| DST | Link | Path |
|-----|-----------|------|
| D | End layer | null |
| A | 1 | <A> |
| C | 3 | <C> |
| E | 2 | <E> |



| DST | Link | Path |
|-----|-----------|--------|
| D | End layer | null |
| A | 1 | <A> |
| C | 3 | <C> |
| E | 2 | <E> |
| B | 3 | <C, B> |

Note: At the end of round 2, each node has learned all two-hop paths


Questions About Path Vector

- How do we avoid permanent loops?
- What happens when a node hears multiple paths to the same destination?
- What happens if the graph changes?

Questions About Path Vector

- How do we ensure no loops?
 - When a node updates its paths, it never accepts a path that has itself
- What happens when a node hears multiple paths to the same destination?
 - It picks the better path (e.g., the shorter number of hops)
- What happens if the graph changes?
 - Algorithm deals well with new links
 - To deal with links that go down, each router should discard any path that a neighbor stops advertising

Hierarchical Routing




- Internet: collection of domains/networks
- Inside a domain: Route over a graph of routers
- Between domains: Route over a graph of domains
- Address consists of “Domain Id”, “Node Id”

Hierarchical Routing

Advantage

- Scalable
 - Smaller tables
 - Smaller messages
- Delegation
 - Each domain can run its own routing protocol



Disadvantage

- Mobility is difficult
 - Address depends on geographic location
- Sup-optimal paths
 - E.g., in the figure, the shortest path between the two machines should traverse the yellow domain.

Routing: many open issues

- Misconfigurations between domains?
- Flat addresses and scalable?
- Routing in multihop WiFi networks?
- Routing in peer-to-peer networks?