### 18.06 Problem Set 2

Due Wednesday, 20 February 2008 at 4 pm in 2-106.

Problem 1: a) Do problem 5 from section 2.4 (pg. 65) in the book.
b) Do problem 26 in section 2.4 (pg. 69).

Solution ( $5+5$ points)
a) We have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & n b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 2 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]^{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2^{n} & 2^{n} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

If you insist on a rigorous proof, you can use induction.
b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
2 & 4 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
3 & 3 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
2
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
3 & 3 & 0
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
4 \\
1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
3 & 3 & 0 \\
6 & 6 & 0 \\
6 & 6 & 0
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 8 & 4 \\
1 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
3 & 3 & 0 \\
10 & 14 & 4 \\
7 & 8 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem 2: Do problem 24 from section 2.4 (pg. 68).
Solution ( $5+5$ points)
In general, if we take an upper triangular matrix with 0s along the diagonal, some power of it will be 0 . The matrices I picked are all instances of this principle.
a) $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ will work.
b) $A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$. Do you see the pattern?

Problem 3: Do problem 7 from section 2.5 (pg. 79).
Solution ( $3+4+3$ points)
a) Suppose we had a solution $x$. The equation $A x=(1,0,0)$ amounts to the three equations row $1 \cdot x=1$, row $2 \cdot x=0$, row $3 \cdot x=0$. But we know row $1+$ row $2=$ row 3. The three dot products should sum correctly, but they don't:

$$
(\text { row } 1+\text { row } 2) \cdot x=1+0 \neq 0=\text { row } 3 \cdot x
$$

Thus, there can't be a solution $x$. This shows that $A$ is not invertible.
b) By the same reasoning as above, we must have $b_{1}+b_{2}=b_{3}$ for any allowable solution. It's possible that even some of these won't have solutions; we don't have enough information about $A$ to say for sure. For example, the 0 matrix satisfies the requirement to be $A$, but $0 x=b$ certainly won't have any solutions unless all the $b_{i}$ are 0 . We'll learn a more precise way to discuss this when we talk about rank.
c) After elimination row 3 will become all 0 . How do we see this? We know from part a) that $A$ is not invertible (if it were, every choice of $b$ would have a a solution). So $A$ can't have three (non-zero) pivots. Since $A$ has three rows but at most two pivots, at least one row must be all 0 . (Remember that a pivot is the first non-zero entry in a row; a row without a pivot must not have any non-zero entries at all.) Because we always eliminate downwards, the row without a pivot will be the bottom one (it's possible that the earlier rows also will be all 0 ).

Another way to do this would be to plug in variables and eliminate by hand.

Problem 4: Define the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 & -4 \\
-1 & -1 & 5 \\
2 & 7 & -3
\end{array}\right]
$$

Using elimination one can calculate that the inverse is

$$
A^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-16 & -11 & 3 \\
\frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{5}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

a) Suppose that we formed $B$ by switching the top two rows of $A$. What would $B^{-1}$ be?
b) Now suppose we defined $C$ by adding three times column(3) of $A$ to column(2). What is $C^{-1}$ ?

Solution ( $5+5$ points)
a) We can describe this operation on $A$ by a matrix $P$. If

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

then $B=P A$. Note that $P$ is invertible (it is its own inverse). Thus $B^{-1}=A^{-1} P^{-1}$. Multiplying by $P=P^{-1}$ on the right switches the first two columns, so

$$
B^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-11 & -16 & 3 \\
\frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{5}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Remember, multiplying on the left gives row operations, multiplying on the right gives column operations.
b) We get $C$ by multiplying $A$ on the right by a matrix, $C=A E$ with

$$
E=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The inverse of $E$ simply replaces 3 by -3 . Left multiplication by $E^{-1}$ adds $(-3)$ times row 2 to row 3 . Thus, $C^{-1}=E^{-1} A^{-1}$, or

$$
C^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-16 & -11 & 3 \\
\frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
-13 & -9 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Problem 5: Do problem 23 from section 2.5 (pg. 80).
Solution (10 points)

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] } & \rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{3}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{3}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 & -\frac{3}{4} & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{3}{4} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & -1 & \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 & -\frac{3}{4} & \frac{3}{2} & -\frac{3}{4} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \rightsquigarrow\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{4}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem 6: Do problem 29 from section 2.5 (pg. 81). As with any True/False question, be sure to explain your reasoning: give a brief proof if the statement is true, and give a counterexample if the statement is false.

## Solution ( $3+3+2+2$ points)

a) True. After we eliminate, we will still have a 0 in the pivot position of this particular row, and so $A$ can not be invertible. Alternatively, we can find a system $A x=b$ with no solutions by putting a 1 in the position of this particular row.
b) False. A counterexample is the matrix consisting of a 1 in every entry.
c) True. To check if $A^{-1}$ is invertible, we need a matrix $B$ so that $A^{-1} B=$ $B A^{-1}=I$. Of course, setting $B$ to be $A$ will work.
d) True. $\left(A^{-1}\right)^{2}$ will be the inverse.

Problem 7: Do problem 12 from section 2.6 (pg. 92).

## Solution (10 points)

We can reduce $A$ in one step, using

$$
E_{21}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then

$$
L=E_{21}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now, $D$ is equal to the diagonal of $E_{21} A$, and then $U$ is the rest:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 3
\end{array}\right] \\
U & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We need two steps to reduce $B$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{21}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
-4 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& E_{32}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
E_{32} E_{21} A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 4 & 0 \\
0 & -4 & 4 \\
0 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now, our $L$ will be

$$
L=E_{21}^{-1} E_{32}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

We pick $D$ to be the diagonal of our upper triangular matrix:

$$
D=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -4 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right]
$$

And $U$ is whatever is left:

$$
U=D^{-1} E_{32} E_{21} A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 4 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The important thing to note is that $U$ is the tranpose of $L$ for symmetric matrices (that is, $U$ is equal to $L$ flipped over the diagonal).

Problem 8: Do problem 13 in section 2.6 (pg. 93).

## Solution (10 points)

We first reduce the first column. These $E_{j 1}$ will consist of a -1 in the correct spot, and the resulting matrix will be

$$
E_{41} E_{31} E_{21} A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a & a & a & a \\
0 & b-a & b-a & b-a \\
0 & b-a & c-a & c-a \\
0 & b-a & c-a & d-a
\end{array}\right]
$$

The next cancelations will also have a -1 in the appropriate spot, and the resulting matrix will be

$$
E_{42} E_{32} E_{41} E_{31} E_{21} A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a & a & a & a \\
0 & b-a & b-a & b-a \\
0 & 0 & c-b & c-b \\
0 & 0 & c-b & d-b
\end{array}\right]
$$

Similarly the last time:

$$
E_{43} E_{42} E_{32} E_{41} E_{31} E_{21} A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a & a & a & a \\
0 & b-a & b-a & b-a \\
0 & 0 & c-b & c-b \\
0 & 0 & 0 & d-c
\end{array}\right]
$$

This matrix will be our $U$, and our $L$ can be computed by taking the inverse of all the $E_{i j}$ and multiplying. As noted in the text, there is a shortcut way for writing down $L$. You simply take the $i, j$ entry of $E_{i j}$ (the "multiplier"), switch the sign, and put it in the same spot in $L$. In this case, every $E_{i j}$ has a -1 , so

$$
L=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The conditions on $a, b, c, d$ are $a \neq 0, b \neq a, c \neq b, d \neq c$. Note that if we factored this into $L D U$, we would get $L$ and $U$ are transposes just as in the last problem.

Problem 9: Do problem 28 in section 2.6 (pg. 95).
Solution (10 points)

We reduce $A$. The first matrix is $E_{21}$ with a -3 multiplier, and as a result we get

$$
E_{21} A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & c-6 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now, if $c-6=0$, we will need a row swap here, so $A=L U$ is impossible. Furthermore, in this case after a row swap we end up with 3 non-zero pivots. So this is one example answering the question - are there any others?

It's clear that if $c \neq 6$, we won't need to do any row swaps (we're basically done reducing already), and so we will get $A=L U$. Of course, sometimes $U$ will not have 3 pivots (when $c=7$ ), but that's not what the question is asking for. $L U$ factorizations will not exist only when we need a row swap.

Problem 10: In this problem we will use Matlab to do LU factorizations. Don't forget to include your code! Define the matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

The command $[\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{U}]=\mathbf{l} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{A})$ will decompose $A$ into $L$ and $U$. We can further decompose $U$ by using the fact that $A$ is symmetric, so that $U=D L^{\prime}$ (the 'denotes transpose in Matlab). What are $L, D, U$ ? What will the pattern be for larger matrices of the same form?

Now, factor $\mathbf{B}=[\mathbf{1 , 2 ; 2 , 5}]$ into $B=C^{\prime} C$ by using $\mathbf{C}=\operatorname{chol}(\mathbf{B})$ (here chol stands for Cholesky). Try using the command $[\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{U}]=\mathbf{l u}(\mathbf{B})$. What happens and why? We'll need to include a permutation matrix $P$ via the command $[\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{P}]=\mathbf{l} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{B})$. Find $L, U, P$ and check that $P B=L U$.

## Solution (10 points)

My code is below. The pattern in the first part appears to be consecutive ratios $\frac{2}{1}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{4}{3}, \ldots$ along the diagonal of $D$, and their negative inverses inside of $L$.

Something strange happens when we take the $L U$ decomposition of $B$ : initially the $L$ that we get is not lower-triangular. Even though $B$ does have a legitimate $L U$-decomposition, Matlab gave us something different. This is because Matlab always rearranges the rows to make pivots as large as possible. When we start out with $A=[1,2 ; 2,5]$, it sees that we can switch the two rows to make the first pivot bigger, and it does that first. This is a good computational technique, but a little
confusing if you don't expect it. That is why $L$ is not lower triangular; it includes the row-switch data as well. Including a $P$ will give us a lower triangular matrix, but it's still not the one we would have expected.

```
A = [2,-1,0,0;-1,2,-1,0;0,-1,2,-1;0,0,-1,2]
A =
\begin{tabular}{rrrr}
2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 2
\end{tabular}
[L,U]=lu(A)
L =
\begin{tabular}{rrrr}
1.0000 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-0.5000 & 1.0000 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -0.6667 & 1.0000 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -0.7500 & 1.0000
\end{tabular}
U =
\begin{tabular}{rrrr}
2.0000 & -1.0000 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1.5000 & -1.0000 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1.3333 & -1.0000 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1.2500
\end{tabular}
D = U*(L')^(-1)
D =
\begin{tabular}{rrrr}
2.0000 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1.5000 & -0.0000 & -0.0000 \\
0 & 0 & 1.3333 & -0.0000 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1.2500
\end{tabular}
```

```
L*D*L'
ans =
\begin{tabular}{rrrr}
2.0000 & -1.0000 & 0 & 0 \\
-1.0000 & 2.0000 & -1.0000 & -0.0000 \\
0 & -1.0000 & 2.0000 & -1.0000 \\
0 & 0 & -1.0000 & 2.0000
\end{tabular}
B = [1,2;2,5]
B =
    1 2
        2 5
C = chol(B)
C =
    1 2
    0 1
C'*C
ans =
    1 2
    2 5
[L,U]=lu(B)
L =
    0.5000 1.0000
    1.0000 0
```

```
U =
    2.0000 5.0000
        0-0.5000
[L,U,P]=lu(B)
L =
    1.0000 0
    0.5000 1.0000
U =
    2.0000 5.0000
        0-0.5000
P =
    0 1
    1 0
P*B
ans =
    5 5
    1 2
L*U
ans =
    2 5
    1 2
diary off
```

