### 18.06, Fall 2004, Problem Set 3 Solutions

1. ( 6 pts .)
(a) No. The set $F$ is not closed under scalar multiplication. For example, $\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ is in $F$ but $-1\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 0 \\ -1\end{array}\right]$ is not.
(b) No. For a counter-example, consider $f(x)=x^{2}+x$; then $f$ is in our set but $2 f=2 x^{2}+2 x$ is not.
(c) Yes. Note that the "vectors" of this space are $4 \times 2$ matrices. If $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are matrices in $F$, and $c$ is any scalar, then

$$
M\left(N_{1}+N_{2}\right)=M N_{1}+M N_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
M\left(c N_{1}\right)=c M N_{1}=c\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right],
$$

so $N_{1}+N_{2}$ and $c N_{1}$ are also in $F$.
2. This question is not being graded. The notion of rotation was a bit ambiguous. If you consider a rotation by 0 to be the same as a rotation by $2 \pi$ then this is not a vector space. Indeed, you would have for example two vectors, rotation by 0 and by $\pi$, such that if you multiply them by 2 you get the same vector.
3. ( 8 pts .) Each column of $A$ is a linear combination of the columns of $P$, with coefficients from the correspoding column of $Q$ :

$$
A_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{p} Q_{k, i} P_{k}
$$

where $A_{i}$ denotes the $i$ th column of $A$, similarly for $P_{k}$, and as usual $Q_{k, i}$ denotes the entry of $Q$ in row $k$ and column $i$. Now if $v$ is a vector in $C(A)$, it can be written as a linear combination of the columns of $A$; say

$$
v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} A_{i}
$$

for some scalars $c_{i}$. Substituting, we get

$$
v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{p} Q_{k, i} P_{k}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{p} c_{i} Q_{k, i} P_{k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{p}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} Q_{k, i}\right) P_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The point is, we now have $v$ written as a linear combination of the columns of $P$. Therefore, we have shown that if $v$ is in $C(A)$, then $v$ is in $C(P)$, and so $C(A) \subseteq C(P)$.
It need not be the case that $C(A)=C(P)$, though. Consider for example

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], P=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], Q=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Clearly $C(A) \neq C(P)$ in this case.
4. (18 pts.)
(a) Perform elimination on the first column with

$$
E_{21}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], E_{31}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { and } E_{41}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-5 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

to get

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 2 & -2 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Now perform elimination on the third column using

$$
E_{32}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { and } E_{42}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

to get

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 2 & -2 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

(b) The pivot variables are $x_{1}, x_{3}$ and $x_{5}$. The free variables are $x_{2}$ and $x_{4}$.
(c) All that is required to get to reduced row echelon form is to add 2 times row 2 to row 1 (with $E_{12}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ ) and divide row 3 by -2 (with $\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$ ) to get

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 2 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

(d) The first special solution is obtained by setting $x_{2}=1$ and $x_{4}=0$, from which we get $\mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{c}-2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$. Setting $x_{2}=0$ and $x_{4}=1$ we get the other special solution, $\mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{c}-5 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$.
(e) 3: there are 3 pivots.
(f) Note that, as long as the pivot rows and columns are included in a submatrix, row reduction on that submatrix will proceed exactly as it did for the full matrix. In particular, if we take only the rows and columns of $A$ containing pivots, the resulting submatrix will have the $r \times r$ identity matrix as its reduced row echelon form. Therefore, this submatrix of $A$ will be invertible. In our particular case, we get the submatrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -2 & 0 \\
2 & -3 & 0 \\
3 & -5 & -2
\end{array}\right] .
$$

5. (8pts.) The important realisation to make for this problem is that $A$ is the product of your MIT ID as a column vector with your MIT ID as a row vector:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3} \\
a_{4} \\
a_{5} \\
a_{6} \\
a_{7} \\
a_{8} \\
a_{9}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} & a_{5} & a_{6} & a_{7} & a_{8} & a_{9}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For a start, it makes the MATLAB code very simple!
(a) As for problem set 1, we'll give the computation for MIT ID 987654321.

```
>> a=[9;8;7;6;5;4;3;2;1]
```

```
a =
```

9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
>> $A=a * a$,
$\mathrm{A}=$

| 81 | 72 | 63 | 54 | 45 | 36 | 27 | 18 | 9 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 72 | 64 | 56 | 48 | 40 | 32 | 24 | 16 | 8 |
| 63 | 56 | 49 | 42 | 35 | 28 | 21 | 14 | 7 |
| 54 | 48 | 42 | 36 | 30 | 24 | 18 | 12 | 6 |
| 45 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
| 36 | 32 | 28 | 24 | 20 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 4 |
| 27 | 24 | 21 | 18 | 15 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| 18 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

$\gg B=A+A^{\wedge} 2+A^{\wedge} 3$
B =

Columns 1 through 5

| 6602391 | 5868792 | 5135193 | 4401594 | 3667995 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5868792 | 5216704 | 4564616 | 3912528 | 3260440 |
| 5135193 | 4564616 | 3994039 | 3423462 | 2852885 |
| 4401594 | 3912528 | 3423462 | 2934396 | 2445330 |
| 3667995 | 3260440 | 2852885 | 2445330 | 2037775 |
| 2934396 | 2608352 | 2282308 | 1956264 | 1630220 |
| 2200797 | 1956264 | 1711731 | 1467198 | 1222665 |
| 1467198 | 1304176 | 1141154 | 978132 | 815110 |
| 733599 | 652088 | 570577 | 489066 | 407555 |

Columns 6 through 9

```
\begin{tabular}{rrrr}
2934396 & 2200797 & 1467198 & 733599 \\
2608352 & 1956264 & 1304176 & 652088 \\
2282308 & 1711731 & 1141154 & 570577 \\
1956264 & 1467198 & 978132 & 489066 \\
1630220 & 1222665 & 815110 & 407555 \\
1304176 & 978132 & 652088 & 326044 \\
978132 & 733599 & 489066 & 244533 \\
652088 & 489066 & 326044 & 163022 \\
326044 & 244533 & 163022 & 81511
\end{tabular}
```

```
>> rank(B)
```

ans =

1
(b) With the expression for $A$ above, we can calculate $B$ more explicitly. As in the MATLAB computation above, let us denote by a the column vector with entries the digits of your MIT ID. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =A+A^{2}+A^{3} \\
& =\mathbf{a a}^{T}+\mathbf{\mathbf { a } ^ { T }} \mathbf{a a}^{T}+\mathbf{\mathbf { a } ^ { T }} \mathbf{a a}^{T} \mathbf{a a}^{T} \\
& =\mathbf{a a}^{T}+\mathbf{a}\|\mathbf{a}\|^{2} \mathbf{a}^{T}+\mathbf{a}\|\mathbf{a}\|^{4} \mathbf{a}^{T} \\
& =\left(1+\|\mathbf{a}\|^{2}+\|\mathbf{a}\|^{4}\right) \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the expression in parentheses is a scalar, the rank of $B$ equals the rank of $\mathbf{a a}^{T}$. Now, each column of $\mathbf{a a}^{T}$ is just a multiple of $\mathbf{a}$, so the rank of $\mathbf{a a ^ { T }}$, and therefore $B$, is 1 (unless you happen to have the MIT ID 000000000, in which case the rank is 0 ).

