

# Ph.D. General Exam Proposal

Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Department of Urban Studies and Planning

## Basic Information

Name: Roberto Ponce Lopez  
Email: rponcelo@mit.edu  
Phone: 617-823-2075

## Fields of Examination

Field 1: Urban Information Systems  
Field 2: Spatial Inequality and Uneven Development

## Written Examination

Proposed Dates: TBD  
Number of Questions (asked/answered): Field 1 – 3/2; Field 2 – 3/2  
Parameters: Font: minimum 12 point; Length (per question): maximum 8-10 pages; Line spacing: minimum 1.5; Margins: minimum 1 inch.

## Oral Examination

Proposed Date: TBD

## Examination Committee

Chair: Joseph Ferreira Jr., Professor of Urban Planning and Operations Research

Signature:

Member: Amy Glasmeier, Professor of Geography and Regional Planning

Signature:

Member: Jinhua Zhao, Assistant Professor of Urban Planning

Signature:

*Submission Date:*

## Introduction

For my general examinations I have chosen Urban Information Systems (UIS) as the intellectual approach and Spatial Inequality with a special emphasis on Economic Geography as the applied field.

I have chosen this combination based on my interest in the connection between spatial analysis and wealth distribution at the local level. My particular interest is in the *processes* and *patterns* of wealth creation and distribution within cities. For instance, imagine that a given process is creating economic segregation in Boston. I, as a researcher, use analytics to detect and measure such a spatial pattern of segregation. However, the questions are twofold: how can I explain the process generating such spatial pattern? And, how does the process function in order to create the pattern that I see?

The concepts of *process* and *pattern* are theoretical foundations of spatial analysis. Urban Information Systems (UIS) offer a number of tools to detect and predict spatial patterns. UIS has also extensively modeled and hypothesized about generator mechanisms of spatial patterns in land use, mobility, and real estate. Nonetheless, not much research has been done on the processes behind the creation and distribution of wealth at the scale of cities. Batty, O'Sullivan, and Haining have theorized about the processes behind spatial patterns. For Haining, there are four types of processes behind any type of pattern: *diffusion*, *exchange and transfer*, *interaction*, and *dispersal*. Batty, for his part, has attempted to explain the patterns of sprawl and public services' scaling. He attributes this to economies of scale and agglomeration economies.

On the side of economic geography, the discipline has a longstanding tradition of theorizing about the processes that lead to wealth spatial inequality, including factors such as spatial division of labor and prevailing power structures. However, Economic Geography comes up short in predicting the spatial patterns that are derived from its premises. The theoretical disconnection between *social processes* and *spatial patterns* is not trivial because a single process can produce more than a pattern, and, that same pattern might be reproduced by more than a process. There is a missing connection

between the work of Haining and Batty with the tradition of Human Geography, although, authors like Anselin, Goodchild, Johnston, and Po Kwan have made contributions to start filling in those gaps. My research interest is to contribute to such discussion in the future and, the general exams represent a first step in that direction.

## **First Field – Urban Information Systems**

Urban Information Systems (UIS) is a multidisciplinary field that draws from geographic information science, computer science, operations research, statistics, economics, and cognitive science, where information technology is the pivotal element linking such diverse fields. In applying the particular knowledge and theories of each of those sciences to cities, UIS analyses the impact of information and communication technologies on urban planning in the following three ways. First, information technology works as a problem solving toolkit for urban planners. Technology and novel analytical methods aid in the development of new solutions to old problems, such as traffic congestion or participatory planning. Second, information technology opens up new research venues in which dynamics related to human activity and mobility can now be explored thanks to new available data and new methods. The massive volumes of collected geospatial data offer an opportunity to better understand how cities organize over time. Finally, UIS seeks to analyze the impact of information technologies on cities, through physical space, people and institutions. Pervasive computing, mobile devices, and sensors are transforming both the way cities are used, and the way they generate and distribute wealth. The exam field is divided into four distinct domains, which reflect the broader areas previously described: 1) Geospatial Information Analysis and Management; 2) Information Technology Communication and Representation; 3) Urban Analytics Models; and, 4) Social Implications of Geographic Information Technology.

### **1. Geospatial Information Analysis and Management**

This domain is concerned with investigating patterns that arise as a result of certain processes that may be operating in space (Sullivan & Unwin, 2010). An alleged

connection between generator processes and spatial patterns lays out the theoretical foundation for this field. Techniques and methods to enable the representation, description, measurement, comparison, and generation of spatial patterns are central to the study of geospatial information analysis. These techniques consist of spatial data manipulation, spatial data analysis, spatial statistical analysis, and spatial modeling. Two relevant tools of spatial analysis are Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Relational Databases, which in turn might be applied to support planning functions.

### **1.1 *Geographic Information Systems (GIS), Geospatial Services, and Representation***

This section covers crucial concepts of spatial analysis such as spatial dependency and heterogeneity. Generic spatial processes operate in geographic space generating data, where, spatial structure emerges as a fundamental property of the data, manifesting itself either as a dependency or autocorrelation (Haining, 2003).

GIS has embedded functions to detect and predict both spatial dependence and autocorrelation at different scales. Examples of these functions are interpolation, k-nearest neighbor, and surface analysis among others. However, GIS shortfalls in handling temporal data, which is a drawback for urban planners who rely on past observations to forecast future outcomes. To overcome this problem, more advanced techniques of spatial analysis have been developed outside of the GIS environment, such as neural network modeling, discrete choice modeling, cellular automata and agent based modeling. Furthermore, these tools can be coupled to work with GIS software. A degree of coupling between both software tools is desirable in order to take advantage of GIS' embedded functions and visualization capabilities. Each degree of coupling represents a tradeoff between the number of lines of code written and data manipulation. Accordingly, the impact of these tradeoffs, as measured in time and effort, must be taken into account when considering any coupling efforts.

## **1.2 Database Design and Management**

Databases have helped planners to accumulate information and knowledge in an efficient way. Database systems are particularly useful to organize complex and large datasets, and to retrieve on-demand information. A good database design reduces data inconsistencies, shrinks storage space, facilitates collaboration among users, protects privacy, and allows scalability. This section covers database designs and issues, including database models, relational algebra, database normalization, and SQL language. Applications of databases for spatial data can be found in the previous section in De Smith, Michael John, Michael F. Goodchild, and Paul Longley, 2013, and Wilson, John P., and A. Stewart Fotheringham, 2008.

## **1.3 Information Technology and Planning Support Systems**

This section engages the use of support capabilities incorporating GIS and analytic models to encourage rational planning in the decision process (top-down planning). The two pieces by Hopkins are fundamental to connect planning theory with the importance of forecasting urban outcomes; transparent and accurate predictions are the necessary input to make educated guesses about future urban outcomes. However, modeling and forecasting can seldom be simultaneously transparent and accurate which creates a problem. Planning support systems always face a certain tension between openness and precision. The most transparent systems are the most effective in communicating results, but their predictions often lacking in terms of realism and accuracy. In contrast, the most precise systems are black boxes, so to speak, that frequently fail to gain the trust of planners and stakeholders. The best of both worlds does not fit into one single tool.

## **2. Information Technology Communication and Representation**

This domain encompasses the impact of Information Technology (IT) on the urban space. Mobile devices and pervasive computing are changing not only people's consumption and mobility; but also the forms of human interaction with the physical space and with other individuals. Newly available data from web scrapping and mobile devices is ready to be used to shed light on social dynamics. As more far-reaching and real-time data becomes available, effective visualizations are essential to conduct exploratory analysis and communicate results.

### **2.1 *Digital Cities***

The rapid expansion of IT over broad areas of the economy has engendered the anticipation that jobs would decentralize across geographies. And, that virtual interactions were displacing face-to-face communications and cities as economic forces would no longer be necessary. However, the opposite has happened: cities have thrived and they are the key players in today's global economy. As a result, a complex urban pattern has emerged, where certain type of jobs are highly centralized (e.g. finance, technology), while others have spread out (e.g. manufacturing, insurance). IT is what enabled the development of such a complex pattern of economic production, although IT is itself part of a more far-reaching change in the economic system where capital has overridden labor (Castells, 1989).

### **2.2 *Web Mining, Mobile Data, and Human Interaction***

Mobile devices, social media and search engines represent rich sources of data to explore social dynamics. Web scrapping is a tool to extract data from the web, which is used to build databases from the vast amounts of information available in the web. Then, statistics and data mining can work on those databases to approximate unobserved variables, to classify observations, or to detect patterns, in order to better understand urban dynamics like mobility and interaction.

### **2.3 Visualization**

This section covers the work of Mark S. Monmonier and Edward R. Tufte, classics in the visual display of quantitative data. As geo-spatial data becomes increasingly available, creative visualizations are needed for two purposes. The first one is to conduct exploratory analysis of complex datasets. The second one is to effectively communicate results to our audience.

## **3. Urban Analytics and Models**

This domain engages the methodologies that planners use to explore, model, calibrate, and predict urban outcomes, such as land use, transportation capacity, land values, and sprawl, among others. Urban economics and statistics are the two foundational pillars of urban modeling. The combination of theory with a variety of statistical methods have helped to understand the causes of urban outcomes, and to predict them throughout large-scale models.

### **3.1 Economic Theory**

This section covers the urban economic models of land rent, transportation and population growth. The classic monocentric model (Alonso, 1964) is the starting point to delve into this literature. The Alonso model specifies that cost of land and population density, both decay as distance from Central Business District (CBD) and transportation cost increase. Variations to the monocentric model, where certain assumptions are relaxed, have been developed to investigate for example polycentricity, land use, congestion cost, and housing supply.

### **3.2 Analytical Methods: Statistics, Probability, Spatial Measurement, and Analysis**

Analytical Methods embody the foundations for spatial analysis. They are the tools needed to detect, model and predict spatial dependencies and heterogeneities. These tools run the show behind the scenes for large-scale

urban models and planning support systems. This section addresses a wide spectrum of techniques, ranging from the workhorse regression to data mining. Locational analysis, agent-based models and networks are also covered.

### **3.3 *Large-Scale Urban Models***

From a set of hypothesized processes, large-scale urban models rely on analytics and theory to simulate spatial urban patterns. The granularity of the models ranges from individuals to areal units of different levels of aggregation, depending on the methods and data used. UrbanSim is amongst the more sophisticated models, being able to make predictions on land markets and travel behavior from individual level simulations. Likewise, the *New Science of Cities* by Batty is an overarching effort to understand urban outcomes (e.g. land use) as consequences of simple bottom-up processes that lead to complex emergent behaviors.

## **4. Social Implications of Geographic Information Technology**

This domain captures the social implications of GIS (IT broadly speaking) on democratic decision making and institutional change. On the one hand, IT and GIS support a prevailing top-down type of planning, which is fed by skilled experts analyzing data and making one-sided decisions. On the other hand, GIS technology empowers bottom-up planning, where actors who would otherwise remain excluded from decisions now actively participate in the planning process. Remarkably, in the bottom up approach, GIS plays a fundamental role making decisions to be informed and sustained on data.

### **4.1 *Public Participation GIS and the Epistemology of GIS Practice***

GIS is a tool for both good and for bad. On the one side maps can be instruments of power and manipulation. Spatial analysis frequently reflects existing power structures; access to knowledge is unbalanced, benefiting large

organizations and the wealthiest. On the other hand, GIS offers a voice to the marginalized and enables communities to make better decisions by providing access to more information. The current section reviews the underlying tension between the two positions and offers a number of cases where public participatory GIS has been successfully implemented for urban planning.

#### ***4.2 Organizational and Institutional Implication of Information Technology***

This section addresses the institutional environment of planning, with the aim of developing a broad understanding of the organizations and planning processes within which information technologies are brought to bear. This body of literature centers on organizational and institutional implications of information technology in planning, discussing how UIS practices are implemented, whether UIS is adopted by the community, and what social changes are possible because of UIS.

## **Second Field – Spatial Inequality and Uneven Development**

Economic growth and wealth are not evenly distributed across space. They are largely confined to specific areas. Economic activity clusters together, and such clustering can be found at different scales and levels of aggregation, extending from blocks to countries. The question that arises is why such clustering occurs. A potential explanation is that places do not have the same endowment of natural resources, which leads regions to specialize in activities where they hold a comparative advantage. The unequal distribution of raw materials locks in an uneven distribution of wealth. However, this theory fails to account for cases where clustering emerged in areas with different natural endowments, and it also fails to explain the concentration of wealth at finer geographical scales than regions.

Another hypothesis is that not only raw materials unevenly distribute, but also labor and capital endowments geographically diverge across space. Labor, especially high skilled labor, tend to concentrate in cities, particularly around a number of clusters of innovation at specific times, such as Detroit or Los Angeles decades ago, or Silicon Valley and Route 128 nowadays. This theory explains the development and maturation of clusters, and of cities, once they exist, but it fails to explain the emergence of centers of innovation at the first instance.

A different explanation that combines classic elements from economic geography and from neoclassical economics argue that centripetal and centrifugal forces are behind the spatial patterns of economic activity and wealth concentration. Those two dynamic forces operate in opposite directions, shaping continuously the space at different scales. Agglomeration economies and economies of scale are the centripetal forces at work that glue activity and agents together. Economic agents benefit by producing larger quantities of goods and by locating geographically nearby other similar agents. However, agglomeration and scale economies would predict the concentration of economic activity exclusively around a single cluster. In reality, we see many urban

centers and/or clusters of innovation because of a counteracting centripetal force that disperses activity. Congestion, housing prices, crime and pollution are examples of centripetal forces. Explaining how these forces operate and counteract is a hot topic of research, and there is not much written on the mechanics of such processes or evidence besides the theoretical formulation. The picture becomes even more complex when places interact through trade, flows of people, capital and information. Some places benefit more than others; core-periphery type of relations develop over time. Interactions also work at different geographic scales, from regions to cities and neighborhoods, influencing the geographic pattern of wealth creation and dispersal.

Besides the uneven distribution of economic activity, another source of spatial inequality is the political economy of place, which is embedded into the economic system. Once wealth is created, political factors determine wealth distribution across space. Power structures and political coalitions leave a footprint on places; welfare states stamp their mark on space and on the flows of goods and people by redistributing benefits through public institutions.

My proposed second field, Spatial Inequality and Uneven Development, builds upon the intellectual framework of the first field to investigate the processes leading to spatial patterns of wealth and poverty distribution. In this exam field, I outline a theoretical framework that connects conceptual threads from economic geography, new economic geography, and political economy of place to attempt explaining the spatial patterns of inequity and uneven development.

The literature in the second field is summarized into four areas of inquiry: 1) Spatial Distinction; 2) Economic Geography; 3) Production and Factors of the Spatial Economy; and 4) Political Economy of Uneven Development.

### **1. Spatial Distinction**

This domain could be summarized as *space matters in social science*. Social phenomena vary across temporal and spatial scales, displaying spatial heterogeneity

and interdependencies. This area divides into two: 1) the foundations of socio-spatial thinking; 2) The implications of scale for social science.

### **1.1 Space-Time Geographies**

This section examines the assumptions about space, place and time from two perspectives. The first one is from the nature of spatial data; the second one from an epistemological standpoint. The nature of spatial data consists of spatial heterogeneity and spatial autocorrelation. A current debate is how a temporal dimension fits into spatial data. From an epistemological angle, space is important because 1) is the product of interrelations, 2) allows for a plurality and the coexistence of distinct trajectories, and 3) is always under construction. The two perspectives presented here jointly theorize about a conception of space anchored in geo-spatial data.

### **1.2 Sociology of Space and Scale**

Scale is a geographical concept that enables us to talk about spatially uneven development and to define certain processes as global or local. There is no clear agreement whether scales are real things, materially manifested in the landscape, or whether they are mental artifacts to make sense of the world. The conception of scale is the theoretical artifact that allows us to define places as exclusionary (e.g. scale as concentric circles), inclusionary (e.g. scale as a Matryoshka doll) or integrative (e.g. scale as networks and flows). Moreover, processes can be scale invariant, or they might differently manifest at distinct scales.

## **2. Economic Geography**

Economic Geography attempts to explain the manifestation of economic processes across territories at various scales: local, regional, national, and global. Throughout history, economic geographers have hypothesized about a number of drivers of territorial development, highlighting on economies of scale and agglomeration during the last two decades. This section reports the evolution of the discipline after World

War II, starting with the so-called quantitative revolution, addressing by the modern school, and laying out some new directions. The quantitative revolution was the first effort to use scientific analysis to develop generalized theories (e.g. Central Place Theory, Monocentric City) to explain geographical phenomena. After the 1970s, economic geography engaged with newly emerging branches of other fields and became a more heterodox discipline, covering topics like poverty, organizational aspects of production, innovation, regulation theory, and cultural geography, among others. Then, during the 1990s, the “New Economic Geography” broke ground through general equilibrium models of spatial competition, integrating a number of previous theories developed in geography and economics. Today, the persistent permeable character of the discipline is endorsed by the continuous new applications developed from theories originated in other fields.

## **2.1 Quantitative Revolution**

During the 1950s and 1960s, the discipline transitioned from descriptive economic geography into a science of spatial systems. The goal was not just to describe those systems and their operations, but also to analyze them with math and statistics, creating models and hypotheses, to produce theories about the spatial organization of society rather than just specific knowledge about individual places. Regional Science, Central Place Theory, and Location-Allocation models to find optimum locations for facilities and efficient flows between them are amongst the most important legacies from this classic school of thought. The literature from this section covers the classic names of the quantitative revolution: Brian Berry, Leslie Currie, William Garrison, Torsten Hagerstrand, Peter Hagett, Walter Isard, and Fred Schaefer.

## **2.2 Modern Economic Geography**

The main critique of the quantitative revolution is that patterns of economic production are influenced by contextual effects, local conditions, and luck; models or laws cannot grasp such elements. Location patterns exhibit path dependence: a specific locational decision made in time would have long-term consequences

for economic development. Forecasting the location of economic activity and wealth is not possible under such framework of uncertainty. Another important critique of economic geography was that it most of its analysis was about location theory and geometry, lacking deeper foundations in economic theory. After the quantitative revolution, Economic Geography took various directions trying to fix the shortcomings of that approach and several new subfields emerged. Fitting those multiple directions into a single definition of the discipline is a real challenge, but Aoyama, Murphy & Hanson (2010) have done a good effort summarizing it: “Modern economic geography study geographically specific factors that shape economic processes and identify key agents (such as firms, labor and the state) and drivers (such as innovation, institutions, entrepreneurship and accessibility) that prompt uneven territorial development and change (such as industrial clusters, regional disparities and core – periphery)”. The current section presents a number of compilation books that introduce the foundations about the factors and drivers described by Aoyama et al. These foundations will be needed to fully engaging the discussion that will be presented in section 3 of this proposal.

### **2.3 New Trends in Economic Geography**

This section engages the critique of the so-called “New Economic Geography” embodied by Krugman, Fujita and Garrett. A critique to this school is relevant to this proposal because such body of theory has had a longstanding influence on the study of the spatial economy over the last two decades. This section also introduces some new directions in the discipline, such as cultural economy and evolutionary economic-geographies.

### **3. Production and Factors of the Spatial Economy**

This domain delves into the factors and drivers of economic processes that prompt uneven economic development. Land, capital, labor and technology could be in many ways combined to produce the same good, each one leaving a unique footprint on the space at various scales. This section addresses the spatial configuration of production,

labor and technology, putting special emphasis on industrial production, economies of innovation and cities.

### **3.1 Spatial Patterns in Production and Pricing**

As suggested by the title, this sub-section examines the spatial patterns of production and pricing. Models of spatial price competition attempt to quantify retail price variation in a framework of general equilibrium, by modeling spatial access to consumers and local monopoly power exerted by firms. This section comprises landmark papers focusing on a variety of spatial traits of production, such as agglomeration economies, organizational culture, and trade linkages. A number of classic typologies of centers of production are as well introduced.

### **3.2 Labor. Uneven Distribution of Wages, Talent and Innovation**

The division of labor can be considered from two standpoints: a top-down or a bottom-up. The top-down reflects the contribution of firms to the economy in terms of what they produce. The bottom-up relates to the technical division of labor between different employees in their contribution to the labor process of a given firm. Doreen Massey's seminal book the *Spatial Divisions of Labor* is a critical work to understand the connections of labor to industrial geography and uneven development. This section also explores the spatiality of two factors of labor production: wages and productivity. Garretsen for example finds evidence of spatial distribution of wages when dropping the real wage equalization assumption from neoclassic economics, while Parks proves the inter-metropolitan wage inequality in local labor markets in the U.S. Regarding productivity, evidence suggest that social capital, number of innovations, and the so-called creative class in metropolitan areas cluster together.

### **3.3 Socio-Spatial Dimensions of Innovation and Technology Adoption**

Organizational cultures, institutional arrangements, and the market itself shape the rate at which new technologies are adopted. Technological change might distort the amalgam of labor, land and capital, which in turn alters the spatial

patterns of wealth and economic production. This section starts with Castells' all-encompassing theory of technological change in the economy, then it introduces various papers that attempt to categorize the socio-spatial dimensions of technological change in UK and the US.

### **3.4 Cities as Engines of Economic Growth**

Cities are economic centers continuously interacting with their counterparts. Cities connect to each other through flows of people, goods and knowledge. These linkages in turn reinforce cities' economic preeminence position, which has an impact on wealth distribution inside metropolitan areas. Globalization has accentuated those linkages. The current section covers the literature of cities as main drivers of the world economy and economic change, while examining the social consequences of such position on the distribution of wealth over the space, especially in the local context.

## **4. Political Economy of Uneven Development**

Political economy examines the relationship between economic and political structures, processes, and outcomes, with an emphasis on who gains and who loses what. This section addresses the politics of uneven development and its manifestation in the geographical space. The first part engages the connection between political science and geographical political economy to explain the impacts of the politics, power structure, and policy making on the spatial distribution of wealth.

### **4.1 Politics of Uneven Development**

Power is intrinsically spatial because it affects places' social outcomes. Power is divided across jurisdictions such as municipalities and districts. Agents reclaim power to exert it over a given political jurisdiction. Jurisdictions and actors use power to rationally allocate resources in favor of specific constituencies. The current section explores the actors, scales and consequences of political decisions on uneven spatial development.

## **4.2 Welfare State and Social Exclusion**

Public institutions re-distribute resources through the Welfare State, which also leaves a footprint on the geographic pattern of development through changes in the labor market. Traditionally, the Welfare State has been a topic of interest mainly to economists and political scientists, but other disciplines have also made contributions to this field. This section introduces the approach of geographers to welfare. The body of literature on the spatial divisions of welfare analyzes the notion of territorial justice, tracking the local impacts of welfare programs and reforms on the structure of labor, which in turn has an impact on space.

## **4.3 Spatial Inequity and Poverty Distribution**

The body of literature presented in this section stresses the spatial patterns of poverty and inequity, which are artifacts of spatial factors of political economy. This last section covers poverty, justice, spatial equity, immigration, segregation and slums, building upon the previous parts of this proposed second field.

## General Exam Course Preparation

## First Field – Urban Information Systems

Course	Instructor	Course Name	Grade	Year	Level	Institution
17.800	Yamamoto	Quantitative Research Methods I	A-	2012	G	MIT
11.410	Wheaton	Urban and Regional Economics	B+	2013	G	MIT
11.521	Ferreira	Spatial Database Management and Advanced GIS	A	2013	G	MIT
17.802	Hidalgo	Quantitative Research Methods II	A-	2013	G	MIT
1.002	Kocur	Intro Comp & Engr Prob Solving	A	2013	G	MIT
11.S947	Williams	Visualizing Shenzhen's Social Media to Understand City Dynamics	A	2013	G	MIT
11.903	Ferreira	Supervised Readings on Urban Information Systems	P	2014	G	MIT
90711	Nagin	Empirical Methods for Public Policy	A	2010	G	CMU
90728	Gorr	Introduction to Database Management	B+	2010	G	CMU
90722	Caulkins	Management Science I	A-	2011	G	CMU
90760	Caulkins	Management Science II	A-	2011	G	CMU
	Neil	Large Scale Datasets for Policy Analysis	B-	2011	G	CMU
90834	Kurland	Health Care Geographic Information Systems	A+	2011	G	CMU
90961	Kurland	Independent Study on Geographic Information Systems	A+	2011	G	CMU
95703	Szczypula	Database Management	A	2011	G	CMU
PT601	Ramsey	Topics in GIS and Remote Sensing	A	2011	G	PITT
PT700	Harbert	Advanced Geographic Information Systems	A+	2012	G	PITT

MIT- *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*; CMU – *Carnegie Mellon University*; PITT - *University of Pittsburgh*

## Second Field - Socio-Spatial Inequality and Geographies of Uneven Development

Course	Instructor	Course Name	Grade	Year	Level	Institution
11.401	Briggs, Thompson	Introduction to Housing & Community Development	A-	2012	G	MIT
11.410	Wheaton	Urban and Regional Economics	B+	2013	G	MIT
11.469	Briggs	Urban Sociology	A	2013	G	MIT
11.355	Saiz	International Housing Economics and Finance	A	2014	G	MIT
88759	Borzutzky	Globalization	A+	2010	G	CMU
90714	Borzutzky	Policy and Politics in American Institutions	A	2011	G	CMU
	Bassols	Microeconomics I	7	1999	U	ITAM
	Pruneda	Macroeconomics I	10	2000	U	ITAM
	Palacios	Microeconomics II	8	2001	U	ITAM
	Robles	Microeconomics III	7	2002	U	ITAM
	Copelman	Macroeconomics II	7	2003	U	ITAM
	Aguilera	Political Economy I	8	2003	U	ITAM
	Velez	Political Economy II	8	2004	U	ITAM

MIT- *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*; CMU – *Carnegie Mellon University*; ITAM – *Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México* (scale of grades from 0 to 10, where 10 is the highest and 0 the lowest)

## Methodology

Course	Instructor	Course Name	Grade	Year	Level	Institution
11.233	Carmin	Research Design	A-	2012	G	MIT
11.522	Ferreira	Research Seminar in Urban Information Systems	A-	2012	G	MIT
11.800	Glasmeier, Polenske	Doctoral Research Paper	A-	2013	G	MIT

## FIRST FIELD: URBAN INFORMATION SYSTEMS

### 1. Geospatial Information Analysis and Management

#### 1.1 Geographic Information Systems (GIS), Geospatial Services, and Representation

##### Books:

De Smith, Michael John, Michael F. Goodchild, and Paul Longley. *Geospatial analysis: a comprehensive guide to principles, techniques and software tools*. Electronic Book, 2013.

DeMers, Michael N. *Fundamentals of geographic information systems*. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.

Haining, Robert P. *Spatial data analysis: theory and practice*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.

Longley, Paul A., Michael F. Goodchild, David J. Maguire, and David W. Rhind. *Geographic Information Systems and Science*. John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey, 2011.

Nyerges, Timothy L., and Piotr Jankowski. *Regional and urban GIS: a decision support approach*. Guilford Press, 2012.

O'Sullivan, David, and David John Unwin. *Geographic information analysis*. John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey, 2010.

Shneiderman, Ben. *Designing the user interface: strategies for effective human-computer interaction*. Vol. 2. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1992.

Wilson, John P., and A. Stewart Fotheringham, eds. *The handbook of geographic information science*. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.

Worboys, Michael F., and Matt Duckham. *GIS: a computing perspective*. CRC press, 2004.

##### Articles:

Batty, Michael, and Yichun Xie. "Research Article. Modelling inside GIS: Part 1. Model structures, exploratory spatial data analysis and aggregation." *International Journal of Geographical Information Systems* 8, no. 3 (1994): 291-307.

Batty, Michael, and Yichun Xie. "Modelling inside GIS: Part 2. Selecting and calibrating urban models using ARC-INFO." *International Journal of Geographical Information Systems* 8, no. 5 (1994): 451-470.

Brömmelstroet, Marco te, and Luca Bertolini. "Developing land use and transport PSS: meaningful information through a dialogue between modelers and planners." *Transport Policy* 15, no. 4 (2008): 251-259.

Brömmelstroet, Marco te, and Luca Bertolini. "The Role of Transport-Related Models in Urban Planning Practice." *Transport Reviews* 31, no. 2 (2011): 139-143.

Goodchild, Michael F., and Donald G. Janelle. "Toward critical spatial thinking in the social sciences and humanities." *GeoJournal* 75, no. 1 (2010): 3-13.

Haklay, Mordechai. "How good is volunteered geographical information? A comparative study of OpenStreetMap and Ordnance Survey datasets." *Environment and planning. B, Planning & design* 37, no. 4 (2010): 682.

Kwan, Mei-Po, and Tijs Neutens. "Space-time research in GIScience." *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* 28, no. 5 (2014): 851-854.

Nedovic-Budic, Zorica. "Evaluating the effects of GIS technology: review of methods." *Journal of Planning Literature* 13, no. 3 (1999): 284-295.

Onsrud, Harlan J. "Identifying unethical conduct in the use of GIS." *Cartography and Geographic Information Systems* 22, no. 1 (1995): 90-97.

## 1.2 Database Design and Management

### Books:

Casteel, Joan. *Oracle 11G: SQL: SQL*. Cengage Learning, 2009.

Samet, Hanan. *The design and analysis of spatial data structures*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1990.

Ullman, Jeffrey D., Hector Garcia-Molina, and Jennifer Widom. *Database systems: the complete book*. Vol. 2. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall, 2001.

### Articles:

Ferreira Jr, Joseph. "Database management tools for planning." *Journal of the American Planning Association* 56, no. 1 (1990): 78-84.

## 1.3 Information Technology and Planning Support Systems

### Books:

Brail, Richard K. *Planning support systems for cities and regions*, ed. Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, 2008.

Geertman, Stan, Fred Toppen, and John Stillwell, eds. *Planning support systems for sustainable urban development*. Vol. 195. London: Springer, 2013.

Hopkins, Lewis D. *Urban development: The logic of making plans*. Island Press, 2001.

Hopkins, Lewis D., and Marisa Zapata, eds. *Engaging the future: Forecasts, scenarios, plans, and projects*. Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, 2007.

Meyer, Michael D., and Eric J. Miller. *Urban transportation planning: a decision-oriented approach*. McGraw-Hill Science/Engineering/Math, 2001.

Miller, Harvey J., and Shih-Lung Shaw. *Geographic information systems for transportation: principles and applications*. Oxford University Press, 2001.

Articles:

Carrera, Fabio, and Lorlene Hoyt. "From plan-determined data to plan-ready information: A rationale for comprehensive urban knowledge infrastructures." *Journal of Urban Technology* 13, no. 2 (2006): 3-23.

Geertman, Stan. "Participatory planning and GIS: a PSS to bridge the gap." *Environment and Planning B* 29, no. 1 (2002): 21-36.

Geertman, Stan, and John Stillwell. "Planning support systems: an inventory of current practice." *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems* 28, no. 4 (2004): 291-310.

Harris, Britton. "Beyond geographic information systems." *Journal of the American Planning Association* 55, no. 1 (1989): 85-90.

Harris, Britton, and Michael Batty. "Locational models, geographic information and planning support systems." *Journal of Planning Education and Research* 12, no. 3 (1993): 184-198.

Janssen, Ron, Marjan van Herwijnen, Theodor J. Stewart, and J. C. J. H. Aerts. "Multiobjective decision support for land-use planning." *Environment and Planning B. Planning and Design* 35, no. 4 (2008): 740.

Klosterman, Richard E. "Planning support systems: a new perspective on computer-aided planning." *Journal of Planning education and research* 17, no. 1 (1997): 45-54.

Schrijnen, Pieter M. "From planning support systems to mediated planning support: a structured dialogue to overcome the implementation gap." *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design* 37, no. 1 (2010): 3-20.

Zhu, Yi, Mi Diao, Joseph Ferreira, Weifeng Li, and Shan Jiang. "Flexible Geospatial Platform for Distributed and Collaborative Urban Modelling." In *Planning Support Systems for Sustainable Urban Development*, pp. 375-394. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.

## 2. Information Technology Communication and Representation

### 2.1 Digital Cities

#### Books:

Castells, Manuel. *The informational city: information technology, economic restructuring, and the urban-regional process*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1989.

Castells, Manuel. *The rise of the network society: The information age: Economy, society, and culture*. Vol. 1. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.

Glaeser, Edward. *Triumph of the city: How our greatest invention makes US richer, smarter, greener, healthier and happier*. Pan Macmillan, 2011.

Greenfield, Adam. *Everyware: The dawning age of ubiquitous computing*. Vol. 7. Berkeley: New Riders, 2006.

Mitchell, William J. *City of bits*. Cambridge, MA: MIT press, 1995.

Mitchell, William J. *Me++: The cyborg self and the networked city*. MIT Press, 2004.

Negroponte, Nicholas. *Being digital*. Random House LLC, 1996.F

Sassen, Saskia. *The Global City: New York, London, Tokyo*. Princeton University Press, 2001.

Townsend, Anthony M. *Smart cities: Big data, civic hackers, and the quest for a new utopia*. WW Norton & Company, 2013.

### 2.2 Web Mining, Mobile Data, and Human Interaction

#### Articles

Choi, Hyunyoung, and Hal Varian. "Predicting the present with google trends." *Economic Record* 88, no. s1 (2012): 2-9.

Ginsberg, Jeremy, Matthew H. Mohebbi, Rajan S. Patel, Lynnette Brammer, Mark S. Smolinski, and Larry Brilliant. "Detecting influenza epidemics using search engine query data." *Nature* 457, no. 7232 (2009): 1012-1014.

Jiang, Shan, Joseph Ferreira, and Marta C. González. "Clustering daily patterns of human activities in the city." *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery* 25, no. 3 (2012): 478-510.

Ratti, Carlo, Stanislav Sobolevsky, Francesco Calabrese, Clio Andris, Jonathan Reades, Mauro Martino, Rob Claxton, and Steven H. Strogatz. "Redrawing the map of Great Britain from a network of human interactions." *PloS one* 5, no. 12 (2010): e14248.

Ratti, Carlo, S. Williams, D. Frenchman, and R. M. Pulselli. "Mobile landscapes: using location data from cell phones for urban analysis." *Environment and Planning B Planning and Design* 33, no. 5 (2006): 727.

Rodrigues, Felipe, Ana Alves, Evgheni Polisciuc, Shan Jiang, Joseph Ferreira, and Francisco Pereira. "Estimating disaggregated employment size from Points-of-Interest and census data: From mining the web to model implementation and visualization." *International Journal on Advances in Intelligent Systems* 6, no. 1 and 2 (2013): 41-52.

Saiz, Albert, and Uri Simonsohn. "Proxying for Unobservable Variables with Internet Document-Frequency." *Journal of the European Economic Association* 11, no. 1 (2013): 137-165.

## 2.3 Visualization

### Books:

Monmonier, Mark S. (1996). *How to lie with maps*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1991

Tufte, Edward R. *Envisioning information*. Cheshire, Conn: Graphics Press, 1990

Tufte, Edward R. *The visual display of quantitative information*. Cheshire, Conn: Graphics Press, 2001

### Articles:

Byron, Lee, and Martin Wattenberg. "Stacked Graphs-Geometry & Aesthetics." *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.* 14, no. 6 (2008): 1245-1252.

MacEachren, Alan M., and Menno-Jan Kraak. "Exploratory cartographic visualization: advancing the agenda." *Computers & Geosciences* 23, no. 4 (1997): 335-343.

## 3. Urban Analytics and Models

### 3.1 Economic Theory

#### Books:

Alonso, William. *Location and Land Use: Toward a General Theory of Land Rest*. Cambridge University Press, 1964.

Varian, Hal R. *Microeconomic analysis*. Vol. 2. New York: Norton, 1992.

DiPasquale, Denise, and William C. Wheaton. *Urban economics and real estate markets*. Vol. 23, no. 7. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1996.

Anas, Alex. *Modelling in urban and regional economics*. Vol. 47. Taylor & Francis, 2013.

Fujita, Masahisa, Paul R. Krugman, and Anthony J. Venables. *The spatial economy: Cities, regions, and international trade*. MIT press, 2001.

Brueckner, Jan K. "Lectures on urban economics." *MIT Press Books*, 2011.

Perloff, Jeffrey M. *Microeconomics: Theory and Applications with Calculus*. Ed. Prentice Hall, 2013.

Transportation Research Board of the National Academies. *Metropolitan Travel Forecasting: Current Practice and Future Direction*. Transportation Research Board, 2008.

Articles:

Anas, Alex, and Rong Xu. "Congestion, land use, and job dispersion: a general equilibrium model." *Journal of Urban Economics* 45, no. 3 (1999): 451-473.

Bhat, Chandra R., and Frank S. Koppelman. "Activity-based modeling of travel demand." In *Handbook of transportation Science*, pp. 35-61. Springer US, 1999.

Burchfield, Marcy, Henry G. Overman, Diego Puga, and Matthew A. Turner. "Causes of sprawl: A portrait from space." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 121, no. 2 (2006): 587-633.

Duranton, Gilles, and Diego Puga. "Micro-foundations of urban agglomeration economies." *Handbook of regional and urban economics* 4 (2004): 2063-2117.

Gabaix, Xavier. "Zipf's law for cities: an explanation." *The Quarterly journal of economics* 114, no. 3 (1999): 739-767.

Galster, George C. "A bid-rent analysis of housing market discrimination." *American Economic Review* 67, no. 2 (1977): 144-55.

Saiz, A. (2010). The geographic determinants of housing supply. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 125(3), 1253-1296.

Wagner, Peter, and Michael Wegener. "Urban land use, transport and environment models: experiences with an integrated microscopic approach." *The Planning Review* 43, no. 170 (2007): 45-56.

Wheaton, William C. "Land use and density in cities with congestion." *Journal of Urban Economics* 43, no. 2 (1998): 258-272.

### **3.2 Analytical Methods: Statistics, Probability, Spatial Measurement and Analysis**

Books:

Ben-Akiva, Moshe E., and Steven R. Lerman. *Discrete choice analysis: theory and application to travel demand*. Vol. 9. MIT press, 1985.

Bertsekas, Dimitri P. and Tsitsiklis. John N. *Introduction to Probability*. Belmont, Mass., Athena Scientific, 2008.

Chan, Yupo. *Location theory and decision analysis: analytics of spatial information technology*. Springer, 2011.

Crooks, Andrew T., Linda M. See, and Michael Batty, eds. *Agent-based models of geographical systems*. Springer Netherlands, 2012.

Fotheringham, A. Stewart, and Peter A. Rogerson, eds. *The SAGE handbook of spatial analysis*. Sage, 2008.

Greene, William H. *Econometric analysis*, 7th. Ed. Prentice Hall, 2011.

Keeney, Ralph L., and Howard Raiffa. *Decisions with multiple objectives: preferences and value trade-offs*. Cambridge university press, 1993.

Krueckeberg, Donald A., and Arthur L. Silvers. *Urban planning analysis: methods and models*. New York: Wiley, 1974.

Larson, Richard C., and Amedeo R. Odoni. *Urban Operations Research*. Belmont, Mass.: Dynamic Ideas, 2007.

Miller, Harvey J., and Jiawei Han, eds. *Geographic data mining and knowledge discovery*. CRC Press, 2009.

Newman, Mark EJ. (2010). *Networks: an introduction*. Oxford University Press, 2010.

Witten, Ian H., and Eibe Frank. *Data mining: practical machine learning tools and techniques*. Morgan Kaufmann, 2011

Wooldridge, Jeffrey M. *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data*. Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press, 2010.

#### Articles:

Armstrong, Marc P., and Paul J. Densham. "Toward a network map algebra." In *Thirteenth International Symposium on Automated Cartography (Auto-Carto 13)*, pp. 1-10. 1997.

Longley, Paul A., and Victor Mesev. "On the measurement and generalization of urban form." *Environment and Planning A* 32, no. 3 (2000): 473-488.

Openshaw, Stan. "Neural network, genetic, and fuzzy logic models of spatial interaction." *Environment and Planning A* 30, no. 10 (1998): 1857-1872

Shen, Qing. "Spatial impacts of locally enacted growth controls: the San Francisco Bay Region in the 1980s." *Environment and Planning B* 23 (1996): 61-92.

Talen, Emily, and Luc Anselin. "Assessing spatial equity: an evaluation of measures of accessibility to public playgrounds." *Environment and Planning A* 30, no. 4 (1998): 595-613.

Tukey, John W. "We need both exploratory and confirmatory." *The American Statistician* 34, no. 1 (1980): 23-25.

### **3.3 Large-scale Urban Models**

#### Books:

Batty, Michael. *Cities and Complexity: Understanding Cities with Cellular Automata, Agent-Based Models, and Fractals*. The MIT Press, 2005.

Batty, Michael. *The New Science of Cities*. MIT Press, 2013

Hensher, David A., and Kenneth Button, eds. *Handbook of transport modelling*. Elsevier, 2008

Articles:

Batty, Michael. "A chronicle of scientific planning: the Anglo-American modeling experience." *Journal of the American Planning Association* 60, no. 1 (1994): 7-16.

Ferreira, Joseph, Mi Diao, and Jingsi Xu. "Estimating the Vehicle-Miles-Traveled Implications of Alternative Metropolitan Growth Scenarios: A Boston Example." *Transactions in GIS* 17, no. 5 (2013): 645-660.

Flaxman, Michael, and Weifeng Li. "Urban growth models as web services." In *Proceedings of 10th international conference on Computers in Urban Planning and Urban Management (CUPUM), Iguassu Falls*, pp. 11-13. 2009.

Karlsson, Charlie, and Michael Olsson. "The identification of functional regions: theory, methods, and applications." *The annals of regional science* 40, no. 1 (2006): 1-18.

Klosterman, Richard E. "An introduction to the literature on large-scale urban models." *Journal of the American Planning Association* 60, no. 1 (1994): 41-44.

Lee Jr, Douglass B. "Requiem for large-scale models." *Journal of the American Institute of Planners* 39, no. 3 (1973): 163-178.

Lee, Douglass B. "Retrospective on large-scale urban models." *Journal of the American Planning Association* 60, no. 1 (1994): 35-40.

Walker, Joan L., and Jieping Li. "Latent lifestyle preferences and household location decisions." *Journal of Geographical Systems* 9, no. 1 (2007): 77-101.

Waddell, Paul. "UrbanSim: Modeling urban development for land use, transportation, and environmental planning." *Journal of the American Planning Association* 68, no. 3 (2002): 297-314.

Waddell, Paul, L. Wang, and X. Liu. "UrbanSim: an evolving planning support system for evolving communities." *Planning support systems for cities and regions* (2008): 103-138.

Wegener, Michael. "Operational urban models state of the art." *Journal of the American Planning Association* 60, no. 1 (1994): 17-29.

## 4. Social Implications of Geographic Information Analysis

### 4.1 Public Participation GIS and the Epistemology of GIS Practice

#### Books:

Onsrud, Harlan Joseph, and Gerard Rushton, eds. *Sharing geographic information*. NJ Center for Urban Policy Research, 1995.

Pickles, John, ed. *Ground truth: The social implications of geographic information systems*. Guilford Press, 1995.

Ramasubramanian, Laxmi. *Geographic information science and public participation*. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2010.

Shirky, Clay. *Here comes everybody: The power of organizing without organizations*. Penguin, 2008.

#### Articles:

Bailey, Keiron, Benjamin Blandford, Ted Grossardt, and John Ripy. "Planning, technology, and legitimacy: structured public involvement in integrated transportation and land-use planning in the United States." *Environment and Planning-Part B* 38, no. 3 (2011): 447.

Carver, Steve, Andrew Evans, Richard Kingston, and Ian Turton. "Public participation, GIS, and cyberdemocracy: evaluating on-line spatial decision support systems." *Environment and Planning B* 28, no. 6 (2001): 907-922.

Drummond, William J., and Steven P. French. "The future of GIS in planning: Converging technologies and diverging interests." *Journal of the American Planning Association* 74, no. 2 (2008): 161-174.

Elwood, Sarah. "Negotiating Knowledge Production: The Everyday Inclusions, Exclusions, and Contradictions of Participatory GIS Research." *The Professional Geographer* 58, no. 2 (2006): 197-208.

Elwood, Sarah. "Critical issues in participatory GIS: deconstructions, reconstructions, and new research directions." *Transactions in GIS* 10, no. 5 (2006): 693-708.

Ferreira Jr, Joseph. "Comment on Drummond and French: GIS Evolution: Are We Messed Up by Mashups?" *Journal of the American Planning Association* 74, no. 2 (2008): 177-179.

Goodchild, Michael F. "Citizens as sensors: the world of volunteered geography." *GeoJournal* 69, no. 4 (2007): 211-221.

Klosterman, Richard E. "Comment on Drummond and French: Another view of the future of GIS." *Journal of the American Planning Association* 74, no. 2 (2008): 174-176.

Klosterman, Richard E. (2013). Lessons Learned About Planning. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 79, no. 2 (2013), 161-169.

Obermeyer, Nancy J. "The evolution of public participation GIS." *Cartography and Geographic Information Systems* 25, no. 2 (1998): 65-66.

Peng, Zhong-Ren. "Internet GIS for public participation." *Environment and Planning B* 28, no. 6 (2001): 889-906.

Rinner, Claus, and Michelle Bird. "Evaluating community engagement through argumentation maps-a public participation GIS case study." *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design* 36, no. 4 (2009), 588 – 601.

Shiffer, Michael J. "Multimedia GIS for planning support and public discourse." *Cartography and Geographic Information Systems* 25, no. 2 (1998): 89-94.

Sieber, Renee. "Public participation geographic information systems: A literature review and framework." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 96, no. 3 (2006): 491-507.

Slotterback, Carissa Schively. "Planners' perspectives on using technology in participatory processes." *Environment and Planning-Part B* 38, no. 3 (2011): 468.

Talen, Emily. "Bottom-up GIS: A new tool for individual and group expression in participatory planning." *Journal of the American Planning Association* 66, no. 3 (2000): 279-294.

## **4.2 Organizational and Institutional Implication of Information Technology**

### Books:

Fountain, Jane E. *Building the virtual state: Information technology and institutional change*. Brookings Institution Press, 2001.

Geertman, Stan, and John Stillwell, eds. *Planning support systems in practice*. Springer, 2003.

Innes, Judith E., and David E. Booher. *Planning with complexity: an introduction to collaborative rationality for public policy*. Routledge, 2010.

Schön, Donald A., Bishwapriya Sanyal, and William John Mitchell, eds. *High technology and low-income communities: Prospects for the positive use of advanced information technology*. MIT press, 1999.

Schön, Donald A. *The reflective practitioner*. Basic Books New York, 1983.

Zuboff, Shoshana. *In the age of the smart machine: The future of work and power*. Basic Books, 1988.

### Articles:

Boyd, Danah, and Kate Crawford. "Critical questions for big data: Provocations for a cultural, technological, and scholarly phenomenon." *Information, Communication & Society* 15, no. 5 (2012): 662-679.

Budić, I. Zorica D. "Effectiveness of geographic information systems in local planning." *Journal of the American Planning Association* 60, no. 2 (1994): 244-263.

Göçmen, Z. Aslıgül, and Stephen J. Ventura. "Barriers to GIS use in planning." *Journal of the American Planning Association* 76, no. 2 (2010): 172-183.

Gordon, Eric, and Gene Koo. "Placeworlds: Using virtual worlds to foster civic engagement." *Space and Culture* 11, no. 3 (2008): 204-221.

Jacoby, Steve, Jessica Smith, Lisa Ting, and Ian Williamson. "Developing a common spatial data infrastructure between State and Local Government--an Australian case study." *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* 16, no. 4 (2002): 305-322.

Mandelbaum, Seymour J. "Making and breaking planning tools." *Computers, environment and urban systems* 20, no. 2 (1996): 71-84.

Ventura, Stephen J., Bernard J. Niemann, Todd L. Sutphin, and Richard E. Chenoweth. "GIS-enhanced land-use planning." *Community participation and geographic information systems* (2002): 113-124.

Waddell, Paul. "Between Politics and Planning: UrbanSim as a Decision-Support System for Metropolitan Planning." *Planning Support Systems*. Redland, CA: ESRI Press, 2001

Yeh, Anthony GO, and Chris Webster. "Planning, government, information, and the Internet." *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design* 31, no. 2 (2004): 163-165.

## **SECOND FIELD: SPATIAL INEQUALITY AND UNEVEN DEVELOPMENT**

### **1. Spatial Distinction**

#### **1.1 Space-Time Geographies**

##### Books

Abler, Ronald, John S. Adams, and Peter Gould. *Spatial organization: the geographer's view of the world*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J., Prentice-Hall, 1971.

Gould, Peter, and Rodney White. *Mental maps*. Routledge, 2012.

Massey, Doreen B. *Space, place, and gender*. U of Minnesota Press, 1994.

Massey, Doreen. *For space*. Sage, 2005.

##### Articles

Anselin, Luc. *What is special about spatial data? Alternative perspectives on spatial data analysis*. Santa Barbara, CA: National Center for Geographic Information and Analysis, 1989.

Goodchild, Michael F., Luc Anselin, Richard P. Appelbaum, and Barbara Herr Harthorn. "Toward spatially integrated social science." *International Regional Science Review* 23, no. 2 (2000): 139-159.

Harvey, David. "Between Space and Time: Reflections on the Geographical Imagination." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 80, no. 3 (1990): 418-434.

Kwan, Mei-Po. "Space-time and integral measures of individual accessibility: a comparative analysis using a point-based framework." *Geographical analysis* 30, no. 3 (1998): 191-216.

Kwan, Mei-Po. "Beyond Space (As We Knew It): Toward temporally integrated geographies of segregation, health, and accessibility: space–time integration in geography and GIScience." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 103, no. 5 (2013): 1078-1086.

Schwanen T, Kwan M-P, 2012, "Critical space–time geographies" *Environment and Planning A* 44(9) 2043 – 2048

Tobler, Waldo R. "A computer movie simulating urban growth in the Detroit region." *Economic geography* (1970): 234-240.

#### **1.2 Sociology of Space and Scale**

##### Books

Gregory, Derek, and John Urry, eds. *Social relations and spatial structures*. London: Macmillan, 1985.

Lobao, Linda M., Gregory Hooks, and Ann R. Tickamyer, Eds. *The sociology of spatial inequality*. SUNY Press, 2007.

Herod, Andrew. *Scale*. Routledge, 2010.

### Articles

Brenner, Neil. "The limits to scale? Methodological reflections on scalar structuration." *Progress in human geography* 25, no. 4 (2001): 591-614.

Herod, Andrew. "The production of scale in United States labor relations." *Area* (1991), vol. 1, 82-88.

Logan, John R. "Making a place for space: spatial thinking in social science." *Annual Review of Sociology* 38 (2012).

Marston, Sallie A. "The social construction of scale." *Progress in Human Geography* 24, no. 2 (2000): 219-242.

Marston, Sallie A., and Neil Smith. "States, scales and households: limits to scale thinking? A response to Brenner." *Progress in Human Geography* 25, no. 4 (2001).

Marston, Sallie A., John Paul Jones, and Keith Woodward. "Human geography without scale." *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 30, no. 4 (2005): 416-432.

Peck, Jamie. "Economic sociologies in space." *Economic Geography* 81, no. 2 (2005): 129-175.

Tickamyer, Ann R. "Space matters! Spatial inequality in future sociology." *Contemporary Sociology* (2000): 805-813.

## **2. Economic Geography**

### **2.1 Quantitative Revolution**

#### Books

Berry, Brian JL. *Geography of Market Centers and Retail Distribution*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J., Prentice-Hall, 1967.

Hägerstrand, Torsten. 1967. *Innovation diffusion as a spatial process*. Postscript and translation by Allan Pred. Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1967.

Haggett, Peter, A. D. Cliff, and Allan E. Frey. *Locational Analysis in Human Geography*. New York, St. Martin's Press, 1965.

Haggett, Peter, J. Keith Ord, Keith A. Basset, and Richard B. Davies. *Elements of Spatial Structure: a Quantitative Approach*. Vol. 6. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1975.

Isard, Walter. *Location and Space-Economy*. MIT Press, 1956.

Isard, Walter. *Methods of Regional Analysis: An Introduction to Regional Science*. MIT Press, 1960.

### Articles

Barnes, Trevor J. "The place of locational analysis: a selective and interpretive history." *Progress in Human Geography* 27, no. 1 (2003): 69-95.

Barnes, Trevor J. "Reopke Lecture in Economic Geography: Notes from the Underground: Why the History of Economic Geography Matters: The Case of Central Place Theory." *Economic Geography* 88, no. 1 (2012): 1-26.

Berry, Brian JL, and William L. Garrison. "The functional bases of the central place hierarchy." *Economic Geography* (1958): 145-154.

Berry, Brian JL, and William L. Garrison. "Recent developments of central place theory." *Papers in Regional Science* 4, no. 1 (1958): 107-120.

Curry, Leslie. "A spatial analysis of gravity flows." *Regional Studies* 6, no. 2 (1972): 131-147.

Garrison, William L. "Spatial structure of the economy: I." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 49, no. 2 (1959): 232-239.

Garrison, William L. "Spatial structure of the economy: II." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 49, no. 4 (1959): 471-482.

Garrison, William L. "Spatial structure of the economy: III." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 50, no. 3 (1960): 357-373.

Schaefer, Fred K. "Exceptionalism in geography: a methodological examination." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 43, no. 3 (1953): 226-249.

Thrift, Nigel. "Torsten Hägerstrand and social theory." *Progress in Human Geography* 29, no. 3 (2005): 337-340.

## **2.2 Modern Economic Geography**

### Books

Aoyama, Yuko, James T. Murphy, and Susan Hanson. *Key concepts in economic geography*. Sage, 2010.

Chorley, Richard J., and Peter Haggett, eds. *Socio-Economic Models in Geography (Routledge Revivals)*. Routledge, 2013.

Fujita, Masahisa, and Jacques-François Thisse. *Economics of agglomeration: cities, industrial location, and globalization*. Cambridge University Press, 2013.

Glasmeier, Amy. "Economic geography in practice: local economic development policy." *The Oxford Handbook of Economic Geography* (2000): 559-579.

Leyshon, Andrew, Roger Lee, Linda McDowell, and Peter Sunley, Eds. *The SAGE Handbook of Economic Geography*. SAGE Publications, 2011.

Steven Brakman, Harry Garretsen, and Charles van Marrewijk. *The new introduction to geographical economics*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.

Tickell, Adam, Eric Sheppard, Jamie Peck, and Trevor J. Barnes, eds. *Politics and Practice in Economic Geography*. Sage, 2007.

### Articles

Barnes, Trevor J. "Place, space, and theories of economic value: contextualism and essentialism in economic geography." *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* (1989): 299-316.

Barnes, Trevor J. "Rethorizing economic geography: from the quantitative revolution to the cultural turn." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 91, no. 3 (2001): 546-565.

Hudson, Ray. "Conceptualizing economies and their geographies: spaces, flows and circuits." *Progress in Human Geography* 28, no. 4 (2004): 447-471.

## **2.3 New Trends in Economic Geography**

### Articles

Amin, Ash, and Nigel Thrift. "Cultural-economy and cities." *Progress in Human Geography* 31, no. 2 (2007): 143-161.

Clark, Gordon L. "Stylized facts and close dialogue: methodology in economic geography." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 88, no. 1 (1998): 73-87.

Essletzbichler, Jürgen, and David L. Rigby. "Exploring evolutionary economic geographies." *Journal of Economic Geography* 7, no. 5 (2007): 549-571.

Martin, Ron, and Sunley, Peter. "Paul Krugman's geographical economics and its implications for regional development theory: a critical assessment." *Economic geography* 72, no. 3 (1996): 259-292.

Martin, Ron. "Critical survey. The new geographical turn in economics: some critical reflections." *Cambridge journal of Economics* 23, no. 1 (1999): 65-91.

Martin, Ron, and Peter Sunley. "Path dependence and regional economic evolution." *Journal of Economic Geography* 6, no. 4 (2006): 395-437.

Sheppard, Eric. "Is there a place for the rational actor? A geographical critique of the rational choice paradigm." *Learning (Jan., 1992)* 1 (1992): 21.

### 3. Production and Factors of the Spatial Economy

#### 3.1 Spatial Patterns in Production and Pricing

##### Articles

Clark, Gordon L., and Neil Wrigley. "Exit, the firm and sunk costs: reconceptualizing the corporate geography of disinvestment and plant closure." *Progress in Human Geography* 21, no. 3 (1997): 338-358.

Ettlinger, Nancy. "Toward a critical theory of untidy geographies: the spatiality of emotions in consumption and production." *Feminist Economics* 10, no. 3 (2004): 21-54.

Glasmeier, Amy. "Factors governing the development of high tech industry agglomerations: a tale of three cities." *Regional Studies* 22, no. 4 (1988): 287-301.

Henderson, Jeffrey, Peter Dicken, Martin Hess, Neil Coe, and Henry Wai-Chung Yeung. "Global production networks and the analysis of economic development." *Review of International Political Economy* 9, no. 3 (2002): 436-464.

Markusen, Ann. "Sticky places in slippery space: a typology of industrial districts." *Economic Geography* 72, no. 3 (1996): 293-313.

Plummer, Paul S., Eric Sheppard, and Robert P. Haining. "Modeling spatial price competition: Marxian versus neoclassical approaches." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 88, no. 4 (1998): 575-594.

Plummer, Paul S., Eric Sheppard, and Robert P. Haining. "Spatial Pricing in Interdependent Markets" *Journal of Regional Science*, vol 32, no. 1 (1992): 55-75.

Ning, Xiaoming, and Robert Haining. "Spatial pricing in interdependent markets: a case study of petrol retailing in Sheffield." *Environment and Planning A* 35, no. 12 (2003): 2131-2160.

Rigby, David L., and Jürgen Essletzbichler. "Agglomeration economies and productivity differences in US cities." *Journal of Economic Geography* 2, no. 4 (2002): 407-432.

Walker, Richard. "The geographical organization of production-systems." *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 6, no. 4 (1988): 377-408.

#### 3.2 Labor. Uneven Distribution of Wages, Talent and Innovation

##### Books

Massey, Doreen B. *Spatial Divisions of Labor: Social Structures and the Geography of Production*. London: Macmillan, 1984.

### Articles

Brakman, Steven, Harry Garretsen, and Marc Schramm. "The Spatial Distribution of Wages: Estimating the Helpman-Hanson Model for Germany." *Journal of Regional Science* 44, no. 3 (2004): 437-466.

Clark, William AV, and Jun L. Onaka. "Life cycle and housing adjustment as explanations of residential mobility." *Urban Studies* 20, no. 1 (1983): 47-57.

Christopherson, Susan. "Flexibility in the US service economy and the emerging spatial division of labour." *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* (1989): 131-143.

Florida, Richard. "The economic geography of talent." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 92, no. 4 (2002): 743-755.

Hall, Peter. "Creative cities and economic development." *Urban Studies* 37, no. 4 (2000): 639-649.

Markusen, Ann. "Urban development and the politics of a creative class: evidence from a study of artists." *Environment and Planning A* 38, no. 10 (2006): 1921.

Mohan, Giles, and John Mohan. "Placing social capital." *Progress in Human Geography* 26, no. 2 (2002): 191-210.

Peck, Jamie. "Struggling with the creative class." *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 29, no. 4 (2005): 740-770.

Parks, Virginia. "The uneven geography of racial and ethnic wage inequality: specifying local labor market effects." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 102, no. 3 (2012): 700-725.

Storper, Michael, and Allen J. Scott. "Rethinking human capital, creativity and urban growth." *Journal of Economic Geography* 9, no. 2 (2009): 147-167.

Storper, Michael, and Anthony J. Venables. "Buzz: face-to-face contact and the urban economy." *Journal of Economic Geography* 4, no. 4 (2004): 351-370.

### **3.3 Socio-Spatial Dimensions of Innovation and Technology Adoption**

#### Books

Castells, Manuel. *The informational city: information technology, economic restructuring, and the urban-regional process*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1989.

Castells, Manuel. *The Rise of the Network Society: The Information Age: Economy, Society, and Culture*. Vol. 1. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.

Castells, Manuel. *Communication Power*. Oxford University Press, 2013.

### Articles

Aoyama, Yuko. "Socio-spatial dimensions of technology adoption: recent M-commerce and E-commerce developments." *Environment and Planning A* 35, no. 7 (2003): 1201-1222.

Bunnell, Timothy G., and Neil M. Coe. "Spaces and scales of innovation." *Progress in Human Geography* 25, no. 4 (2001): 569-589.

Glasmeier, Amy. "Technological discontinuities and flexible production networks: The case of Switzerland and the world watch industry." *Research Policy* 20, no. 5 (1991): 469-485.

Hudson, Ray. "The Learning Economy, the Learning Firm and the Learning Region: A Sympathetic Critique of the Limits to Learning." *European Urban and Regional Studies* 6, no. 1 (1999): 59-72.

Oinas, Päivi, and Edward J. Malecki. "The evolution of technologies in time and space: from national and regional to spatial innovation systems." *International Regional Science Review* 25, no. 1 (2002): 102-131.

Pred, Allan R. "Diffusion, organizational spatial structure, and city-system development." *Economic Geography* (1975): 252-268.

Rigby, David L., and Jürgen Essletzbichler. "Evolution, Process Variety, and Regional Trajectories of Technological Change in US Manufacturing\*." *Economic Geography* 73, no. 3 (1997): 269-284.

Simmie, James, James Sennett, Peter Wood, and Doug Hart. "Innovation in Europe: a tale of networks, knowledge and trade in five cities." *Regional Studies* 36, no. 1 (2002): 47-64.

### **3.4 Cities as Engines of Economic Growth**

#### Books

Massey, Doreen. *World City*. John Wiley & Sons, 2013.

Polese, Mario. *The wealth and poverty of regions: Why cities matter*. University of Chicago Press, 2010.

Sassen, Saskia. *The Global City: New York, London, Tokyo*. Princeton University Press, 2001.

Sassen, Saskia. *Global Networks: Linked Cities*. Taylor & Francis, Inc., 2001.

Sassen, Saskia. *Territory, Authority, Rights: From Medieval to Global Assemblages*. Vol. 7. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2006.

Scott, Allen J. *A world in emergence: Cities and regions in the 21st century*. Edward Elgar Publishing, 2012.

Storper, Michael. *The regional world: territorial development in a global economy*. Guilford Press, 1997.

Storper, Michael. *The regional world: territorial development in a global economy*. Guilford Press, 1997.

### Articles

Coe, Neil M., Martin Hess, Henry Wai-chung Yeung, Peter Dicken, and Jeffrey Henderson. "Globalizing regional development: a global production networks perspective." *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 29, no. 4 (2004): 468-484.

Hall, Peter. "Modelling the post-industrial city." *Futures* 29, no. 4 (1997): 311-322.

McDowell, Linda. "Towards an understanding of the gender division of urban space." *Environment and planning D: Society and Space* 1, no. 1 (1983): 59-72.

Nagar, Richa, Victoria Lawson, Linda McDowell, and Susan Hanson. "Locating Globalization: Feminist (Re) readings of the Subjects and Spaces of Globalization\*." *Economic Geography* 78, no. 3 (2002): 257-284.

Sheppard, Eric. "The Spaces and Times of Globalization: Place, Scale, Networks, and Positionality." *Economic Geography* 78, no. 3 (2002): 307-330.

Scott, Allen J. "The cultural economy of cities." *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 21, no. 2 (1997): 323-339.

Scott, Allen J. "Creative cities: conceptual issues and policy questions." *Journal of Urban Affairs* 28, no. 1 (2006): 1-17.

Scott, Allen J. "Cultural economy and the creative field of the city." *Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography* 92, no. 2 (2010): 115-130.

Scott, Allen J., and Michael Storper. "Regions, globalization, development." *Regional Studies* 41, no. S1 (2007): S191-S205.

Storper, Michael. "The limits to globalization: technology districts and international trade." *Economic Geography* 68, no. 1 (1992): 60-93.

## **4. Political Economy of Uneven Development**

### **4.1 Politics of Uneven Development**

#### Books

Allen, John. *Lost geographies of power*. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.

Brenner, Neil. *New State Spaces: Urban Governance and the Rescaling of Statehood*. Oxford University Press, 2004.

Cox, Kevin R., Murray Low, and Jennifer Robinson, eds. *The Sage Handbook of Political Geography*. Sage, 2007.

Dreier, Peter, John H. Mollenkopf, and Todd Swanstrom. *Place matters: Metropolitcs for the twenty-first century*. Press University of Kansas, 2004.

Logan, John R., and Harvey Luskin Molotch. *Urban fortunes: The Political Economy of Place*. University of California Press, 2007.

### Articles

Cox, Kevin R. "Globalization, competition and the politics of local economic development." *Urban Studies* 32, no. 2 (1995): 213-224.

Cox, Kevin R. "Spaces of dependence, spaces of engagement and the politics of scale, or: looking for local politics." *Political Geography* 17, no. 1 (1998): 1-23.

Dorling, Danny, and Mary Shaw. "Geographies of the agenda: public policy, the discipline and its (re)'turns'." *Progress in Human Geography* 26, no. 5 (2002): 629-641.

Martin, Ron. "A geography for policy, or a policy for geography? A response to Dorling and Shaw." *Progress in Human Geography* 26, no. 5 (2002): 642-644.

Massey, Doreen. "Geography, policy and politics: a response to Dorling and Shaw." *Progress in Human Geography* 26, no. 5 (2002): 645-646.

Peck, Jamie. "Geography and public policy: constructions of neoliberalism." *Progress in Human Geography* 28, no. 3 (2004): 392-405.

Sheppard, Eric. "Trade, globalization and uneven development Entanglements of geographical political economy." *Progress in Human Geography* 36, no. 1 (2012): 44-71.

## **4.2 Welfare State and Social Exclusion**

### Books

Peck, Jamie. *Workfare States*. Guilford Press, 2001.

### Articles

Cameron, Angus. "Geographies of welfare and exclusion: initial report." *Progress in Human Geography* 29, no. 2 (2005): 194-203.

Cameron, Angus. "Geographies of welfare and exclusion: social inclusion and exception." *Progress in Human Geography* 30, no. 3 (2006): 396-404.

Cameron, Angus. "Geographies of welfare and exclusion: reconstituting the 'public'." *Progress in Human Geography* (2007).

Mohan, John. "Geographies of welfare and social exclusion: dimensions, consequences and methods." *Progress in Human Geography* 26, no. 1 (2002): 65-75.

Mohan, John. "Geographies of welfare and social exclusion." *Progress in Human Geography* 24, no. 2 (2000).

Mohan, John. "Geography and social policy: spatial divisions of welfare." *Progress in Human Geography* 27, no. 3 (2003): 363-374.

Smith, David Marshall. "Who gets what where, and how: a welfare focus for human geography." *Geography* (1974): 289-297.

### 4.3 Spatial Inequity and Poverty Distribution

#### Books

Dorling, Daniel. *Injustice: Why social inequality persists*. The Policy Press, 2011.

Harvey, David. *Justice, nature and the geography of difference*. Blackwell Publishing, 1996.

Glasmeier, Amy. *An Atlas of Poverty in America: One Nation, Pulling Apart 1960–2003*. Routledge, 2014.

Harvey, David. *Social justice and the city*. University of Georgia Press, 2010.

Mitchell, Don. *The right to the city: Social justice and the fight for public space*. Guilford Press, 2003.

Mitchell, Don. *Cultural geography: A critical introduction*. Oxford: Blackwell, 2000.

Smith, Neil. *Uneven development: Nature, capital, and the production of space*. University of Georgia Press, 2008.

Soja, Edward W. *Seeking spatial justice*. Vol. 16. University of Minnesota Press, 2010.

#### Articles

Cass, Noel, Elizabeth Shove, and John Urry. "Social exclusion, mobility and access." *The Sociological Review* 53, no. 3 (2005): 539-555.

Clark, William AV. "Residential preferences and neighborhood racial segregation: A test of the Schelling segregation model." *Demography* 28, no. 1 (1991): 1-19.

Dorling, Danny, and John Pritchard. "The geography of poverty, inequality and wealth in the UK and abroad: because enough is never enough." *Applied Spatial Analysis and Policy* 3, no. 2-3 (2010): 81-106.

Ellis, Mark, Steven R. Holloway, Richard Wright, and Christopher S. Fowler. "Agents of change: Mixed-race households and the dynamics of neighborhood segregation in the United States." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 102, no. 3 (2012): 549-570.

Ellis, Mark, Richard Wright, and Virginia Parks. "Work together, live apart? Geographies of racial and ethnic segregation at home and at work." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 94, no. 3 (2004): 620-637.

Glennster, Howard, Ruth Lupton, Philip Noden, and Anne Power. "Poverty, Social Exclusion and Neighborhood: Studying the area bases of social exclusion." (1999).

Glasmeier, Amy K. "One nation, pulling apart: the basis of persistent poverty in the USA." *Progress in Human Geography* 26, no. 2 (2002): 155-173.

Glasmeier, Amy, Ron Martin, Peter Tyler, and Danny Dorling. "Editorial: Poverty and place in the UK and the USA." *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society* 1, no. 1 (2008): 1-16.

Glasmeier, Amy K., and Tracey L. Farrigan. "Landscapes of inequality: spatial segregation, economic isolation, and contingent residential locations." *Economic Geography* 83, no. 3 (2007): 221-229.

Johnston, Ron, Kelvyn Jones, Simon Burgess, Carol Propper, Rebecca Sarker, and Anne Bolster. "Scale, factor analyses, and neighborhood effects." *Geographical Analysis* 36, no. 4 (2004): 350-368.

Johnston, Ron, Michael Poulsen, and James Forrest. "The geography of ethnic residential segregation: a comparative study of five countries." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 97, no. 4 (2007): 713-738.

Knox, Paul L. "The restless urban landscape: economic and sociocultural change and the transformation of metropolitan Washington, DC." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 81, no. 2 (1991): 181-209.

Marcuse, Peter. "The Enclave, the Citadel, and the Ghetto What has Changed in the Post-Fordist US City." *Urban Affairs Review* 33, no. 2 (1997): 228-264.

Marcuse, Peter. "'Dual City': a muddy metaphor for a quartered city." *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 13, no. 4 (1989): 697-708.

Polese, Mario, and Richard Shearmur. "Why some regions will decline: A Canadian case study with thoughts on local development strategies\*." *Papers in Regional Science* 85, no. 1 (2006): 23-46.

Sheller, Mimi, and John Urry. 2006. "The New Mobilities Paradigm." *Environmental and Planning A* vol. 38, no. 2: 207-226.

Smith, David Marshall. "Social justice revisited." *Environment and Planning A* 32, no. 7 (2000): 1149-1162.

Smith, Neil. "Gentrification and the rent gap." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 77, no. 3 (1987): 462-465.

Smith, Neil. "New globalism, new urbanism: gentrification as global urban strategy." *Antipode* 34, no. 3 (2002): 427-450.

Soja, Edward W. "The socio-spatial dialectic." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 70, no. 2 (1980): 207-225.

Talen, Emily, and Luc Anselin. "Assessing spatial equity: an evaluation of measures of accessibility to public playgrounds." *Environment and Planning A* 30, no. 4 (1998): 595-613.

Wright, Richard A., Mark Ellis, and Michael Reibel. "The Linkage between Immigration and Internal Migration in Large Metropolitan Areas in the United States\*." *Economic Geography* 73, no. 2 (1997): 234-254.

Yapa, Lakshman. "What causes poverty? A postmodern view." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 86, no. 4 (1996): 707-728.

## Sample Questions

### Field 1: Urban Information Systems

1. During the fifties and sixties, a generation of scholars extended the limits of spatial analysis beyond the realm of geography. A number of disciplines, ranging from biology to sociology, gradually became interested in analyzing spatial patterns in phenomena of their interest, and urban planning was not an exception. Theories that explain such patterns were developed, and new fields such as regional science emerged. Two decades later, the foundations of spatial analysis were mathematically formalized by scholars such as Anselin and Fortheringham, who also provided a set of statistical tools to detect and model spatial patterns of dependency. This evolution has not been exempted from criticisms; some academics still neglect the contributions of spatial econometrics to statistics. However, the methods are today widely spread and accepted across many fields.

Currently, scholars like Batty and Haining are digging deeper; they are studying the spatial processes behind the spatial patterns that we see, the same spatial patterns for which Anselin and others have created a number of tools to detect and measure. Today, the problem is not detecting, but understanding the processes behind those patterns, in our case the urban patterns. The question is whether there is a “law” of spatial processes causing any generic spatial pattern, regardless if it is social (e.g. income) or physical (e.g. soil type, ants). Will we someday be able to talk about spatial principles or laws that govern any agent in space? Do you think spatial analysis should be a science of patterns or of processes? Discuss the limitations that both tracks face. Can we talk about urban spatial processes that repeat across cities and scales?

2. Throughout the past fifty years, a number of modelling techniques have been implemented in urban planning with the purpose of creating “better” plans; better in the sense of predictions being more accurate, results being replicable, or the

decision making process becoming more democratic and inclusive. This variety of goals is reflected in the large number of modelling traditions in urban planning. For instance, models might be entirely theoretical, computationally intensive, top-down, bottom-up, large scale, among many other types. Critically evaluate the most important traditions of modelling in urban planning, addressing the tradeoffs of each approach in terms of transparency and efficiency.

3. Imagine that you work as a professor of urban planning at a local university in a developing country. The capital city of this country is growing fast both in population density and in extension because of its thriving economic activity, rural-urban migration and sprawl. The city lacks of a coordinated plan for its future, but there exist some planning efforts dispersed across a number of uncoordinated government agencies. Government officials commission you to develop a proposal of a master plan of the city growth for the next decade; the plan should address transportation and land use. Develop your proposal and specify the role that information technology would play in the plan.

### Field 2: Spatial Inequality and Uneven Development

1. Why does economic activity and wealth tend to cluster at specific locations? Critically assess the theories that account for the phenomenon of economic clustering at the global, local and intra urban scales.
2. Technology change and innovation are hot topics in urban studies and economic geography because of their consequences on the labor market and urban form. A number of countries across the world try to build the conditions needed to foster innovation clusters in order to replicate the successful cases of Silicon Valley, Route 128, Manhattan, and London. Currently, a consensus exist in that a large pool of high skilled labor, firms willing to innovate, and an enabling environment of collaboration are necessary inputs for such clusters to emerge. However, the debate is focused on which of those elements should be present first. This discussion is like the chicken-egg problem: should firms or labor arrive

first? For example, Glaeser argues that skilled labor attracts firms, while Storper claims that firms attract skilled workers at first instance. Critically evaluate both schools of thought and discuss their arguments.

3. The book *Capital in the Twenty-First Century* by Thomas Piketty has caused quite a stir since its release in 2013. Piketty has put in the public agenda, and in the agenda of neoclassical economics, the problem of rising income inequality. However, there are two points that are worth delving here. On the one hand, inequality has since longtime been a relevant topic in disciplines such as urban sociology and geography, much before the relatively recent interest from economists. On the other hand, *spatial* inequality remains out of the agenda of neoclassical economics, even if it is deeply rooted in inequality. The spatial dimension of inequality cannot be obviated. Explain what causes the fields of economic geography and urban planning attribute to rising inequality, and what implications adding a spatial dimension have to the on-going debate.